

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107135
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSEMENT OF BIODRAINAGE POTENTIAL OF EXISTING PLANT SPECIES W ALONG THE SEWAGE DRAINS OF VEDAPATTI DRAINAGE STRETCH, COIMBATORE, TAMIL NADU, INDIA .
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The subject addressed is within the scope of the journal.</p> <p>2. Title should be shortened</p> <p>3. For readers to quickly catch your contribution, it would be better to highlight major difficulties and challenges, and your original achievements to overcome them, in a clearer way in abstract and introduction. Abstract should be informative and include the main findings. It would be proper if the authors should link the vegetation landscape in the study area to the geology or other sources in the area.</p> <p>4. Subsection are adequate</p> <p>5. the manuscript is scientifically sound.</p> <p>6. It would be nice to be provided with a site map showing the work area with your stations.</p> <p>7. Please be selective but representative with referencing and with no more than 40-45 citations for a regular paper. On the other hand, many of the 76 literatures you used were not validated which is bad. Try to reduce this.</p> <p>8. Moreover, the manuscript could be substantially improved by relying and citing more on recent literatures about contemporary real-life case studies on sustainability and/or metal accumulations in sediment such as the followings: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e16424 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-022-10490-1</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

[Review Form 1.7](#)

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yalçın Tepe
Department, University & Country	Giresun University, Turkey