

## Original Research Article

### **Advancing Integrated Pest Management: Utilizing Pheromone Traps for Population Monitoring of *Plutellaxylostella* in Cole Crops**

#### **ABSTRACT**

*Plutellaxylostella*, commonly known as the diamondback moth poses significant challenges to Cole crops, impacting their growth and ultimately affecting yields. Its rapid reproduction coupled with its ability for developing pesticide resistance has elevated the diamondback moth to a critical concern for farmers and agricultural systems worldwide. The adult population of Diamondback moth was thus monitored during two cropping seasons (*Kharif* and *Rabi* season) at three locations viz., Vegetable Experimental Field, Faculty of Horticulture, SKUAST-K, Shalimar, Urban Technology Park, SKUAST-K, Habbak and Ichgam village in district Budgam using two different *Plutellaxylostella* synthetic sex lures (SKUAST-K and *Chipku* lures). During *Kharif* season, the adult diamondback population was monitored from 18<sup>th</sup> to 28<sup>th</sup> SW with a maximum trap catch in the last week of June (26<sup>th</sup> SW) at Shalimar; whereas, both in Budgam and Habbak, the highest trap catch was in first week of June (23<sup>rd</sup> SW). However, in *Rabi* season, the adult population was monitored from 34<sup>th</sup> to 44<sup>th</sup> SW; the highest adult trap catch was in third week of September (38<sup>th</sup> SW) at Shalimar, though, in Budgam and Habbak, the moth catch peaked to maximum collection in first and second week of October (40<sup>th</sup> and 41<sup>st</sup> SW), respectively. During both the seasons and at all the locations, SKUAST-K lure proved more efficacious than *Chipku* lure. Besides, simple correlation analysis revealed that maximum temperature had positive and non-significant correlation with adult moth catches; conversely, the rainfall and relative humidity was negatively correlated and non-significant with *P.xylostella* adult trap catches throughout both observed seasons.

**Key words:** Diamondback moth, Lures, Monitoring, Trap catch

#### **Introduction**

The Brassicaceae family, also known as Cruciferae, holds particular significance among vegetables. It is distinguished for its remarkable richness in vitamins, high fiber content, minimal fat composition, and low caloric value. Moreover, these plants are recognized for their potential as sources of compounds with medicinal and anticancer properties (Roy and Chakrabarti, 2003; Keck and Finley, 2004). However, in India, the productivity of crucifers encounters considerable challenges, with insect pests ranking among the foremost constraints. Among various insect pests attacking these crops, the diamondback moth, *Plutellaxylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) is found to be most prevalent and highly destructive in nature and thereby results in colossal losses (Debbarma *et al.*, 2017; Sithole *et al.*, 2019; Farias *et al.*, 2020). Characterized by a short life cycle, this pest demonstrates an excellent ability to thrive in adverse weather conditions and disperse widely, additionally, its quick generation turnover further contributes to its persistence (Duarte *et al.*, 2016;

Huaripata and Sanchez, 2019). In India, the projected yearly crop losses owing to this pest amounts to US \$16 million and can cause 30-100 per cent crop losses to cole crops (Ahmad *et al.*, 2009; Uthamasamy *et al.* 2011), while the control costs alone in Brassica crops, are anticipated to be US \$4–5 billion globally (Shen *et al.*, 2020).

The farmers in Kashmir commonly rely on synthetic insecticides to manage cruciferous pests, throughout the planting-to-harvest cycle. Nevertheless, the diamondback exhibits a tendency to develop resistance to insecticides used against it (Talekar and Shelton, 1993). Consequently, a consensus exists that adopting an integrated approach is the most effective strategy for achieving a sustainable pest management. Thus, population monitoring *via* synthetic sex pheromone traps serves as a crucial diagnostic approach for early pest detection, even low-density pest populations (Gurrero and Reddy, 2001).

## Materials and Methods

The population of *P. xylostella* adult moth was monitored at three different locations *viz*; Vegetable Experimental Field, Faculty of Horticulture, SKUAST-K, Shalimar, Urban Technology Park, SKUAST-K, Habbak, and commercial Farmers Field in Budgam during both the *Kharif* and *Rabi* season of year 2022 and at each location, three polyethylene funnel traps of each synthetic sex lure (SKUAST-K and *Chipku* lures) were installed for recording the population of adult male moth.

SKUAST-K sex pheromone lures of Diamondback moth (Pheromone Laboratory, Division of Entomology, SKUAST-K, Shalimar) and commercial “*Chipku*” synthetic sex lures (Turning Point Natural Care, Pune, Maharashtra) impregnated with the sex pheromone of *Plutella xylostella* (Z)-11-hexadecenal (Z11-16:Ald) and (Z) -11-hexadecenyl acetate (Z11-16:Ac) were placed in polyethylene funnel traps to monitor Diamondback moth adult population. The pheromone traps were installed after a month of crop transplanting till final harvest of the crop. Three traps were mounted on wooden poles in a cabbage plot at each of the three locations. Traps were adjusted to hang just above the crop canopy at all the growth stages. Lures were replaced after every fortnight or sooner, if the insect trap became clogged. Traps were monitored at weekly interval and data on the number of adult Diamondback moths caught per trap was recorded. After the count of adult moth trapped in each trap, these were removed from the polyethylene funnel trap and discarded. The mean number of Diamondback moth adults caught per trap per week was recorded for each site. Correlation analysis between important abiotic factors and adult population of Diamondback moth, as monitored through pheromone traps was computed at five per cent level of significance to work out the effect of such abiotic factors on the population build up of the pest.

## Results

### Monitoring of adult diamondback moth with SKUAST-K and *Chipku* pheromone lure

The first adult catch of diamondback moth lured with SKUAST-K and *Chipku* sex pheromone in polyethylene funnel traps got initiated during 18<sup>th</sup> SW. Throughout the monitoring period, three distinct peaks in moth captures were observed in 20<sup>th</sup>, 23<sup>rd</sup>, and 26<sup>th</sup> SW. The highest trap catches occurred during the 23<sup>rd</sup> SW (first week of June), with 31.3 and 27.6 adult moths captured in Budgam and Habbak, respectively, using SKUAST-K lure; in contrast, *Chipku* lure yielded 18.6 and 15.3 moth trap catch. However, at location Shalimar, the maximum adult population was observed during the 26<sup>th</sup> SW, with 23.6 and 11.6 moths

capturing by SKUAST-K and *Chipkulure* respectively. Subsequently, moth trap catches declined following crop harvest (Table 1).

During *Rabi* season, the diamondback moth trap catch with both of the pheromone lures was initiated during 34<sup>th</sup> SW. At Shalimar, the highest trap catch was observed during 38<sup>th</sup> SW, with 19.3 and 10.3 moths caught with SKUAST-K and *Chipkulure*, respectively. However, in Budgam and Habbak, the maximum adult population was observed during 40<sup>th</sup> and 41<sup>st</sup> SW, with 26.3 and 23.6 moths captured using SKUAST-K lure, respectively; on the other hand, 14.3 and 12.6 moth trap catch was observed with *Chipkulure*, at their respective locations (Table 2).

### **Efficacy of different sex lures with respect to locations and seasons**

Across all the locations and in both the seasons, it was consistently evident that the SKUAST-K sex pheromone lures demonstrated superior efficacy in comparison to *Chipku* lures. During *Kharif* season, the SKUAST-K lure trapped maximum adult moth catch than the *Rabi* season; moreover, the highest adult diamondback moths were monitored at location Budgam during *Kharif* season in comparison to both *Rabi* season and locations Habbak and Shalimar (Table 3).

### **Correlation matrix of abiotic factors with adult population of *P. xylostella***

A simple correlation matrix between adult moth trap catch and maximum and minimum temperature was non-significant and positively correlated at Budgam and Habbak; whereas, a highly significant and positively correlated with *P. xylostella* adult moth catches was computed at Shalimar. The rainfall and relative humidity (evening) was non-significant and negatively correlated; though at Shalimar and Budgam, the trap catch was negatively correlated and non-significant with relative humidity (morning), while at Habbak, it was significant and negatively correlated (Table 4).

During *Rabi* season, a positive and significant correlation was observed between adult moth trap catch and maximum temperature at location Shalimar; whereas, at Budgam and Habbak, the maximum temperature was non-significant but positively correlated with moth catches. The minimum temperature and rainfall had negative and non-significant correlation with the trap catches. The relative humidity (morning) was highly significant and negatively correlated at Shalimar, whereas, non-significant and negatively correlated with *P. xylostella* adult moth catches at Habbak. The relative humidity (evening) was highly significant and negatively correlated with the adult moth trap catches (Table 5).

### **Discussion**

The findings from our study on monitoring adult population of diamondback moth *via* sex pheromone lures revealed consistent fluctuations in moth trap catches. The decline in the moth population (21.3 and 15.6) during third week of June (25<sup>th</sup> SW) could be attributed to precipitation during the said period. The decreased moth catches is corroborated with the findings of Reddy and Urs (1996); the authors opined that aging of pheromone lures often leads to declined catch; though, Mayer and Mitchell (1999) attributed low moth catches during rains due to reduced emission rate from the septa. The peak in moth population during 23<sup>rd</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> SW could be attributed to the high pheromone volatility and increased emission rates driven by the elevated temperatures from 24.92 to 29.85°C and 19.34 to 32.64°C, that can accelerate the release of the pheromone from the rubber septa (Abbes and Chermiti, 2011) and subsequent reduction during 27<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> SW finds the support from the work of Ahmad and Ansari (2010) and Hidayah *et al.* (2023). The authors too observed less moth catches due to the strong influence of the abiotic factors.

Regarding *P.xylostella* adult moth catches during *Rabi* season, our results revealed a consistent increase in moth captures in the initial crop growth phase, reaching a maximum catch during 38<sup>th</sup> SW at location Shalimar; which could be attributed to congenial climatic conditions with negligible precipitation and warmer temperatures throughout the period of observation. Similarly, the peak adult catches recorded during 40<sup>th</sup> and 41<sup>st</sup> SW in Budgam and Habbak, could be explained by the low rainfall and lower relative humidity observed during the present investigations, which aligns with the findings of Hemchandra and Singh (2007) who too reported higher population under conditions of meagre precipitation and less of moisture. The significant reduction in the pest density due to the lower temperature and higher relative humidity as advocated by Hemchandra and Singh (2007) and Maity *et al.* (2018) is in consonance with the present findings for the decreased catch from 42<sup>nd</sup> SW at all the locations.

Further, at all the locations and for both the seasons, SKUAST-K sex pheromone lures had highest adult captures in comparison to *Chipku* lures which possibly could be due to higher lure volatility and greater attractiveness in trapping Diamondback moths.

### Conclusion

The present study has yielded several key findings on distinct seasonal variations in diamondback moth populations, with peak activity during specific periods of the year; besides also provided the valuable insights on variations in moth populations at different locations, highlighting the importance of localized pest management strategies. The research underscores the significance of sex pheromone lures as a useful tool for monitoring diamondback moth populations, providing a reliable and non-invasive means of tracking their presence. Further, these findings offer valuable information for growers and pest control practitioners, enabling them to make informed decisions regarding pest management strategies, thus contributing to the resilience of cole crop agriculture in our region.

### References

- Abbes, K. and Chermiti, B. 2011. Comparison of two marks of sex pheromone dispensers commercialized in Tunisia for their efficiency to monitor and to control by mass-trapping *Tuta absoluta* under greenhouses. *Tunisian Journal of Plant Protection* **6**: 133-148.
- Ahmad, T., Ansari, M.S and Ali, H. 2009. Outbreak of diamondback moth, *Plutella xylostella* in Aligarh, India. *Trends in Bioscience* **2**:10-12.
- Ahmad, T. and Ansari, M. S. 2010. Studies on seasonal abundance of diamondback moth *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae) on cauliflower crop. *Journal of Plant Protection Research* **50**(3): 281-287.
- Debbarma, A. Jayaraj, J., Chandramani, P., Senthil, N., Ananthan, M. and Prabakaran, K. 2017. A Survey on Occurrence and Diversity of Insect Pests of Cauliflower in Dindigul and Theni Districts of Tamil Nadu, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences* **6**(9): 2495-2505.
- Duarte, R. T., Gonçalves, K. C., Espinosa, D. J. L., Moreira, L. F., De Bortoli, S. A., Humber, R. A. and Polanczyk, R. A. 2016. Potential of entomopathogenic fungi as biological control agents of diamondback moth (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae) and compatibility with chemical insecticides. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **109**(2): 594-601.
- Farias, E. S., Santos, A. A., Ribeiro, A. V., Carmo, D. G., Paes, J. S. and Picanco, M. C. 2020. Climate and host plants mediating seasonal dynamics and within-plant distribution of the diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella*). *Crop Protection* **134**:

105172.

- Gurrero, A. and Reddy, G. V. P. 2001. Optimum timing of insecticide applications against diamondback moth *Plutellaxylostella* in Colecrops using threshold catches in sex pheromone traps. *Pest Management Science* **57**: 90–94.
- Hemchandra, O. and Singh, T. K. 2007. Population dynamics of DBM, *Plutellaxylostella* (L.) on cabbage agro-ecosystem in Manipur. *Indian Journal of Entomology* **69**: 154-161.
- Hidayah, B. N., Adnyana, I. P. C. P., Suparjan, S., Aisah, A. R. and Rahayu, M. 2023. Moonlight and Rainfall influence efficacy of sex pheromones in controlling *Spodoptera exigua* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on Shallot. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environment Science* **1165** (012009).
- Huaripata, C. and Sanchez, G. 2019. Life Cycle of the Diamondback Moth *Plutellaxylostella* L. (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), in Broccoli and Cauliflower under Laboratory Conditions. *Peruvian Journal of Agronomy* **3**(1): 1-5.
- Keck, A. S. and Finley, J. W., 2004. Cruciferous Vegetables: Cancer Protective Mechanisms of Glucosinolate Hydrolysis Products and Selenium. *Integrative Cancer Therapies* **3**(1): 5–12.
- Maity, L., Padhi, G. and Samanta, A. 2018. Population dynamics and management of diamond back moth *Plutellaxylostella* (L.) in cabbage ecosystem of West Bengal. *International Journal of Chemical Studies* **6**(1): 381-385.
- Mayer, M. S. and E. R. Mitchell. 1999. Differences between attractive diamondback moth, *Plutellaxylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), sex pheromone lures are not determinable through analysis of emissions. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* **1**: 229-236.
- Reddy, G. V. P. and K. C. D. Urs. 1996. Studies on the sex pheromone of the diamondback moth *Plutellaxylostella* (Lepidoptera: Yponomeutidae) in India. *Bulletin of Entomological Research* **86**: 585-590.
- Roy, S. K. and Chakrabarti, A. K. 2003. Vegetables of temperate climates-Commercial and Dietary importance. *Encyclopedia of food sciences and Nutrition (second edition)*.
- Shen, J., Li, Z., Li, D., Wang, R., Zhang, S., You, H. and Li, J. 2020. Biochemical Mechanisms, Cross-resistance and Stability of Resistance to Metaflumizone in *Plutellaxylostella*. *Insects* **11**(5): 311.
- Sithole, R., Nyamukondiwa, C., Chinwada, P. and Lohr, B. 2019. Population dynamics of the diamondback moth and its parasitoids in Zimbabwe. *Biological Control* **133**: 66-74.
- Talekar, N. S. and Shelton, A. M. 1993. Biology, ecology and management of the Diamondback moth. *Annual Review of Entomology* **38**: 275-301.
- Uthamasamy, S., Kannan, M., Senguttuvan, K. and Jayaprakasg, S. A. 2011. Status, damage potential and management of diamondback moth, *Plutellaxylostella* (L.) in Tamil Nadu, India. The 6th international workshop on management of the diamondback moth and other crucifer insect pests. AVRDC-The World Vegetable Center pp. 270–279.

**Table 1: Population monitoring of adult Diamondback Moth (*Plutellaxylostella*) in Cole crops at different locations during *Kharif* 2022**


Standard Week (SW)	Temperature (°C)		Rainfall (mm)	Relative Humidity (%)		Weekly adult moth catch of <i>Plutellaxylostella</i> (Mean $\pm$ SE)					
	Maximum	Minimum		Morning	Evening	Locations					
						Shalimar		Budgam		Habbak	
						Pheromone Lures					
SKUAST K Lure	<i>Chipku</i> Lure	SKUASTK Lure	<i>Chipku</i> Lure	SKUAST K Lure	<i>Chipku</i> Lure						
18	23.28	10.21	2.05	79.00	57.28	2.38 $\pm$ 0.3	0.3 $\pm$ 0.3	5.6 $\pm$ 0.3	1.6 $\pm$ 0.3	3.3 $\pm$ 0.3	0.6 $\pm$ 0.3
19	28.64	10.22	2.34	69.71	37.57	6.3 $\pm$ 0.3	1.6 $\pm$ 0.3	11.3 $\pm$ 0.3	4.6 $\pm$ 0.3	8.6 $\pm$ 0.3	3.0 $\pm$ 0.3
20	26.28	10.57	0.00	68.85	53.00	11.6 $\pm$ 0.3	4.6 $\pm$ 0.3	19.6 $\pm$ 0.3	8.6 $\pm$ 0.3	15.3 $\pm$ 0.3	7.3 $\pm$ 0.3
21	25.01	10.07	3.31	73.71	51.00	9.3 $\pm$ 0.3	3.6 $\pm$ 0.3	17.3 $\pm$ 0.3	7.3 $\pm$ 0.3	13.6 $\pm$ 0.3	5.6 $\pm$ 0.3
22	24.92	11.67	1.68	68.28	46.57	15.6 $\pm$ 0.3	7.3 $\pm$ 0.3	25.6 $\pm$ 0.3	12.6 $\pm$ 0.3	20.3 $\pm$ 0.3	9.6 $\pm$ 0.3
23	29.85	12.05	0.57	57.57	37.57	21.3 $\pm$ 0.3	11.6 $\pm$ 0.3	31.3 $\pm$ 0.3	18.6 $\pm$ 0.3	27.6 $\pm$ 0.3	15.3 $\pm$ 0.3
24	28.71	13.78	1.28	69.14	40.14	19.6 $\pm$ 0.3	9.3 $\pm$ 0.3	28.6 $\pm$ 0.3	15.6 $\pm$ 0.3	22.3 $\pm$ 0.3	10.6 $\pm$ 0.3
25	19.34	12.14	14.94	85.28	81.28	17.3 $\pm$ 0.3	7.6 $\pm$ 0.3	21.3 $\pm$ 0.3	10.3 $\pm$ 0.3	15.6 $\pm$ 0.3	7.3 $\pm$ 0.3
26	32.64	17.51	0.00	75.71	44.00	23.6 $\pm$ 0.3	12.6 $\pm$ 0.3	25.6 $\pm$ 0.3	12.3 $\pm$ 0.3	21.3 $\pm$ 0.3	10.3 $\pm$ 0.3
27	31.31	20.32	1.25	77.42	58.00	23.3 $\pm$ 0.3	11.3 $\pm$ 0.3	22.3 $\pm$ 0.3	9.6 $\pm$ 0.3	20.6 $\pm$ 0.3	9.6 $\pm$ 0.3
28	26.21	17.28	13.77	88.57	67.85	14.6 $\pm$ 0.3	6.6 $\pm$ 0.3	16.6 $\pm$ 0.3	8.3 $\pm$ 0.3	12.3 $\pm$ 0.3	5.3 $\pm$ 0.3

**Table 2: Population monitoring of adult Diamondback Moth (*Plutellaxylostella*) in Cole crops at different locations during Rabi 2022**


Standard Week (SW)	Temperature (°C)		Rainfall (mm)	Relative Humidity (%)		Weekly adult moth catch of <i>Plutellaxylostella</i> (Mean ± SE)					
	Maximum	Minimum		Morning	Evening	Locations					
						Shalimar		Budgam		Habbak	
						Pheromone Lures					
						SKUAST K Lure	ChipkuLure	SKUAST K Lure	Chipku Lure	SKUAST K Lure	ChipkuLure
34	28.07	16.98	1.542	81.85	66.57	2.6 ± 0.3	0.3± 0.3	5.3 ± 0.3	1.3± 0.3	1.3 ± 0.3	0.3 ±0.3
35	30.00	15.50	0.028	79.71	52.71	7.3 ± 0.3	2.3 ± 0.3	12.3 ±0.3	4.6± 0.3	6.6 ± 0.3	1.6± 0.3
36	30.00	15.42	1.00	78.00	46.14	12.6 ± 0.3	5.3 ± 0.3	18.6 ±0.3	9.3 ±0.3	14.3 ±0.3	5.6± 0.3
37	28.57	13.07	1.057	77.71	52.14	15.6 ± 0.3	8.6 ± 0.3	21.3± 0.3	11.6±0.3	17.6 ±0.3	9.3± 0.3
38	28.42	11.71	0.685	74.28	45.85	19.3 ± 0.3	10.3±0.3	24.6± 0.3	12.3±0.3	20.3 ±0.3	11.3±0.3
39	26.92	11.85	0.114	85.42	46.71	12.3 ± 0.3	5.6 ± 0.3	20.6± 0.3	10.6±0.3	18.3 ±0.3	9.6±0.3
40	26.57	7.92	0.00	84.85	40.57	8.6 ± 0.3	2.6 ± 0.3	26.3± 0.3	14.3±0.3	20.6 ±0.3	11.6±0.3
41	24.72	7.30	0.00	75.28	48.42	10.3 ± 0.3	3.3 ± 0.3	25.6± 0.3	12.6±0.3	23.6 ±0.3	12.6±0.3
42	20.07	4.571	5.71	92.85	53.85	4.3 ± 0.3	2.3 ± 0.3	13.6± 0.3	8.3± 0.3	11.3± 0.3	5.6± 0.3
43	19.95	2.428	0.00	91.14	70.14	4.0 ± 0.3	0.6 ± 0.3	12.3 ±0.3	7.6± 0.3	10.6± 0.3	5.3± 0.3
44	18.85	3.5	0.571	90.14	62.14	3.6 ±0.3	0.6 ± 0.3	9.6 ± 0.3	4.3± 0.3	7.3± 0.3	3.3± 0.3

**Table 3: Efficacy of different sex pheromone lures in population monitoring of adult Diamondback moth (*Plutellaxylostella*) at different locations and seasons during 2022**

Season	Budgam			Habbak			Shalimar			Mean	Factor Mean
	SKUAST-K Lure	Chipku Lure	Sub-Mean	SKUAST-K Lure	ChipkuLure	Sub-Mean	SKUAST-K Lure	Chipku Lure	Sub-Mean		
<i>Kharij</i>	20.51	9.99	15.25	16.48	7.72	12.10	15.03	7.00	11.01	12.78	SKUAST-K Lure= 15.39 Chipku Lure= 7.39
<i>Rabi</i>	17.33	8.85	13.09	13.85	6.97	10.41	9.18	3.85	6.51	10.00	
<b>Mean</b>	18.92	9.42	14.17	15.16	7.34	11.25	12.10	5.42	8.76		
<b>CD(p ≤ 0.05)</b>											
Season						=	0.14				
Location						=	0.17				
Traps						=	0.14				
Location*Season						=	0.24				
Season *Trap						=	0.20				
Location *Season*Trap						=	0.45				



**Fig.1: Adult trap catches of *Plutellaxylostellain* Cole crops at different locations using SKUAST-K and *Chipku* lure during Kharif2022**



**Fig. 2: Adult trap catches of *Plutellaxylostellain* Cole crops at different locations using SKUAST-K and *Chipku* lure during Rabi2022**

**Table 4: Correlation matrix between adult catch of Diamondback moth (*Plutellaxylostella*) and abiotic factors during *Kharif* 2022**

Weather factors	Adult catch of <i>Plutellaxylostella</i> ( <i>Kharif</i> )					
	Shalimar		Budgam		Habbak	
	SKUAST-K Lure	<i>Chipku</i> Lure	SKUAST-K Lure	<i>Chipku</i> Lure	SKUAST-K Lure	<i>Chipku</i> Lure
Maximum Temperature	<b>0.51*</b>	<b>0.55*</b>	0.39	0.36	0.49	0.47
Minimum Temperature	<b>0.71*</b>	<b>0.69*</b>	0.32	0.25	0.38	0.33
Rainfall	-0.03	-0.09	-0.18	-0.15	-0.26	-0.26
Morning Relative Humidity	-0.12	-0.16	-0.47	<b>-0.51*</b>	<b>-0.51*</b>	<b>-0.54*</b>
Evening Relative Humidity	-0.04	-0.10	-0.28	-0.32	-0.33	-0.33

\*Significant at 5% level of significance

**Table 5: Correlation matrix between adult catch of Diamondback moth (*Plutellaxylostella*) and abiotic factors during *Rabi* 2022**

Weather factors	Adult catch of <i>Plutellaxylostella</i> ( <i>Rabi</i> )					
	Shalimar		Budgam		Habbak	
	SKUAST-K Lure	<i>Chipku</i> Lure	SKUAST-K Lure	<i>Chipku</i> Lure	SKUAST-K Lure	<i>Chipku</i> Lure
Maximum Temperature	<b>0.59*</b>	<b>0.53*</b>	0.31	0.16	0.16	0.10
Minimum Temperature	0.37	0.36	-0.01	-0.17	-0.14	-0.20
Rainfall	-0.25	-0.08	-0.28	-0.16	-0.24	-0.22
Morning Relative Humidity	<b>-0.72*</b>	<b>-0.64*</b>	<b>-0.50*</b>	-0.32	-0.41	-0.36
Evening Relative Humidity	<b>-0.69*</b>	<b>-0.60*</b>	<b>-0.84*</b>	<b>-0.76*</b>	<b>-0.75*</b>	<b>-0.69*</b>

\*Significant at 5% level of significance