

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_106240
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Triafamone 18.52% SC on Weed dynamics and yield of direct sown rice
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Importance: They experimented for two consecutive years (2017 -18 and 2018-19) at the Agricultural Research Station, Jangamaheswarapuram, Guntur Dist. Andhra Pradesh, India on the influence of Triafamone 18.52 SC doses at a rate of 100 g a.i. ha⁻¹ and 50 g a.i. ha⁻¹ at the 2-3 leaf stage of weed.</p> <p>2. Title: Preferred to be concise and modified into “Effectiveness of Triafamone on Weed Control and Yield of direct sown rice”.</p> <p>3. Abstract: Provide an opening statement of one or two short sentences before illustrating the aim. Some modifications were recommended to enhance the readability and understanding.</p> <p>4. Structure of the manuscript:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Keywords: Not listed. Introduction: Improperly displayed in two paragraphs. The introduction has to be rearranged into three paragraphs i.e., 1. Introduction 2. Significance of the study, and 3. Aim of the study. Some modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding. The aim: Clear. A few modifications were recommended to enhance the readability and understanding Materials and methods: Please notice the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> MUST provide the macroclimatic conditions of the study area. Provide a statistical analysis section with the software used for the analysis, a reference for it, and the statistical model. Some modifications were suggested to enhance readability and understanding. Results: Please notice the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reduce the illustration of too many numerical values in the results section as they have been listed in the tables and instead, you can express them in your language. Consider adding the P-value for the significant results in the text. Abbreviate the scientific names after their first appearance. Use the symbol abbreviation for each treatment instead of applying their details every time. Do not bullet or number the subsections and instead use Italic font. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. Discussion: Clear with moderate speculation and comparisons. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. Conclusion: Clear. Avoid bullets and numbering. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability and understanding of the text. Tables: Well-presented and organized. Some modifications were suggested to enhance the readability. <p>5. Scientific Soundness: The manuscript was able to execute a significant experiment and was expressed in conjugated sequence.</p> <p>6. References: Sufficient as 53.8% (7 out of 13) of the listed references were published in the past five years.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>General view: The manuscript was written in moderate English and grammar. Professional copyediting and proofreading, have to be carried out before resubmission to achieve publishing value.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Essam Samir Soliman
Department, University & Country	Suez Canal University, Egypt