

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_106373
Title of the Manuscript:	Mighty Millets: Bespoke for Multi Nutrients
Type of the Article	review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The Manuscript itself is not of a scientific nature. More informative for the general population, not for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title is acceptable, perhaps pretentious, but acceptable.</p> <p>3. The summary corresponds with the rest of the Manuscript.</p> <p>4. The structure of the Manuscript itself is more Journal-type, so I suggest changing it to be more suitable for a scientific journal.</p> <p>5. Manuscript is acceptable, but statements and strong sentences in the text should be supported by references.</p> <p>6. For this type of Manuscript, references are acceptable.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	As I already mentioned, this expert manuscript was written more in the form of a Magazine and not for a Scientific Journal. Therefore, I propose to restructure the Manuscript in such a way that the entries are written as paragraphs. Add references to sentences that assert something strongly. Also, all images have their sources from where they were taken.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Goran Kis
Department, University & Country	University of Zagreb, Croatia