

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARJASS_106587 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Phantom Menace: A Moderation Analysis of Gender on MSMEs' Financial Literacy and Financial Performance |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In this article discovered that in both Makassar and Surabaya, digital financial literacy plays important role on financial performance of MSMEs. • Title was Good and suitable. • Abstract was Nice and comprehensive. • Subsection and Structure are properly formatted. • This article scientifically correct. • In this article contains references are sufficient and recently. | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The novelty of the study was good. <input type="checkbox"/> The results are really good and the language used properly conveyed the content. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The article deals with The Phantom Menace: A Moderation Analysis of Gender on MSMEs' Financial Literacy and Financial Performance. • The results are really good and the language used properly conveyed the content. • This article has a Systematic flow of content. • This manuscript is in easy-to-understand language. <p>I recommend to the journal may be accept in this paper in current format. Thank you.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | J. Kannan |
| Department, University & Country | Ayya Nadar Janaki Ammal College, India |