

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_106306
Title of the Manuscript:	A Qualitative Analysis of the Involvement of Agro-Based Cooperatives in Agricultural Marketing and Development in Nigeria: Evidence from Enugu State
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript appears to be important for the scientific community, particularly those interested in agricultural development, cooperative organizations, and rural livelihood improvement. The study addresses practical issues and provides recommendations that can contribute to more effective strategies for promoting agricultural development and supporting small-scale farmers in Nigeria and potentially other similar contexts.</p> <p>Alternatively, the topic should be titled: "Involvement of Agro-Based Cooperatives in Agricultural Marketing and Development in Enugu State, Nigeria: A Qualitative Analysis".</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive as it takes cognizance of every detail of the article.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are partly appropriate. Most of the subsections were not properly numbered accordingly.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Some of the references are not consistent, these references are not cited in the body of article. For instance, (Cited works not referenced: Borgens (2001), Ortman et al (2006) (References not cited: Adefila (2002), Everest & Tan (2011), Poulton (2006), USDA (2000)).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The citation and referencing style is poor. 2. For instance, "Studies by (Obasi and Agu, 2000; Omotesho et al., 2010; Oluwatayo et. al., 2008) noted that in most developing economies such as Nigeria..." This is a very poor way of citation. 3. It should be done this way: "Studies by Obasi and Agu (2000), Omotesho et al. (2010), Oluwatayo et. al. (2008) noted that in most developing economies such as Nigeria..." <p>The author is advised to check on the latest APA referencing and citation styles, and correct accordingly.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Usio Uchechi Taiga
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Lafia, Nigeria