

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_106306
Title of the Manuscript:	A Qualitative Analysis of the Involvement of Agro-Based Cooperatives in Agricultural Marketing and Development in Nigeria: Evidence from Enugu State
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. No</p> <p>2. The title of the article is appropriate, but the structure of the article needs to be strengthened.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is not comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of manuscript is not appropriate. It is really unclear to me that the authors should take into consideration of new perspective Instead of what scholars have proven.</p> <p>5. the manuscript is scientifically correct and its ideas are in line with modern science, with the only shortcoming being the lack of innovations.</p> <p>6.the references is sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The references are too outdated, the author may need to refer to more recent literature.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Le Chengyi
Department, University & Country	School of Economic and Management, East China Jiaotong University, China