

Enhancing Growth Analysis Parameters of Marigold (cv. 'Bidhan-2') through Hydrogels, Calcium Ammonium Nitrate (CAN), and Sodium Nitroprusside (SNP) During Hot Summer Conditions in Rayalaseema Region of Andhra Pradesh

Comment [VS1]: Title may be changed as "Enhancement of growth and physiological parameters of marigold(cv. Bidhan-2) through hydrogels-----Andhra Pradesh"

Abstract

Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) is a popular ornamental flower known for its vibrant colors and pleasant fragrance. To enhance its growth and productivity, various agricultural inputs like hydrogels, calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN), and sodium nitroprusside (SNP) have been employed in this study. The present research investigates the impact of these treatments on growth analysis parameters such as Crop growth rate, Relative growth rate, Net assimilation rate and Specific leaf weight in marigold cv. 'Bidhan-2' over a two-year experimentation period (2019-2021) at College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R Horticultural University, Anantharajupeta, Annamayya district of Andhra Pradesh. The experiment consisted of 12 treatments, laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications under open field condition. Significant variations were observed among different treatments with respect to growth analysis parameters. The results highlight the positive influence of Pusa hydrogel on Crop Growth Rate (CGR) and the benefits of applying 50% nitrogen in the form of calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) to stimulate Net Assimilation Rate (NAR). The complex interplay among moisturizing agents, the concentration of CAN and the timing of sodium nitroprusside (SNP) application, offering insights into the intricate mechanisms that influence Specific Leaf Weight (SLW).

Key words: Marigold, calcium ammonium nitrate, sodium nitroprusside, hydrogels, growth analysis parameters, climate change.

INTRODUCTION

Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) is a widely cultivated and admired plant known for its vibrant colors, aesthetic charm, and versatile medicinal properties. It holds a special place in horticulture due to its visual appeal, ease of cultivation, and its historical role in traditional medicine across diverse cultures. Marigold is rich in bioactive compounds, including flavonoids, carotenoids, and essential oils, which are valued for their antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, and antioxidant properties, making it a valuable asset in traditional healing practices.

As the importance of marigold continues to grow, there is an increasing demand to optimize its growth and development to fully realize its horticultural potential. Achieving ideal growth analysis parameters such as Crop growth rate, Relative growth rate, Net assimilation rate and Specific leaf weight becomes crucial for producing captivating marigold specimens with commercial value. Moreover, fostering marigold's growth has the potential to enhance flower yield, expanding its applications in both ornamental and medicinal domains.

To boost marigold's growth and development under summer conditions, the use of hydrogels, which are hydrophilic polymeric materials capable of absorbing and retaining water and nutrients, holds great promise. These hydrogels are valuable in agriculture and horticulture as they enhance water availability, nutrient absorption, and plant resilience to drought conditions. They also create a favorable microenvironment around the roots, promoting root growth and overall plant vigor. Additionally, the incorporation of specific growth promoters such as calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN), a nitrogenous fertilizer, aids in essential nutrient provision, stimulates root and shoot growth, and strengthens the plant's ability to cope with environmental stresses. Sodium nitroprusside (SNP), another growth promoter, functions as a nitric oxide donor, influencing various physiological processes like seed germination, root development, flowering, and stress responses. By enhancing nitric oxide production, SNP improves nutrient uptake efficiency and modulates plant metabolism, leading to enhanced growth and increased stress tolerance.

With the potential benefits of hydrogels and plant growth promoters in mind, this study is designed to comprehensively assess their effects on various growth analysis parameters of marigold cv. 'Bidhan-2'. The specific objectives include evaluating the influence of hydrogel application, calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) treatment, and sodium nitroprusside (SNP) treatment on Crop growth rate, Relative growth rate, Net assimilation rate and Specific leaf weight of marigold cv. 'Bidhan-2'. The findings of this research endeavor hold the promise of shedding light on optimal cultivation practices, thereby unlocking the full horticultural and medicinal potential of marigold, and consequently augmenting its commercial and therapeutic significance.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at college of horticulture, Anantharajupeta, Annamayya district of Andhra Pradesh during the two year period 2019-21. The experimental

area had red soil and loam in texture with moderate fertility. In the experimentation, there were about 12 treatments consisting of 2 levels of hydrogels viz., H₁ (Pusa Hydrogel (1.25 g m⁻²)), H₂ (Zeba (1.25 g m⁻²)), 3 levels of Calcium Ammonium Nitrate viz., N₁ (100% of RDN through CAN), N₂ (75% of RDN through CAN), N₃ (50% of RDN through CAN), 2 levels of Sodium Nitro Prusside spray intervals viz., S₁ (SNP @ 100µM sprayed at 7 days interval), S₂ (SNP @ 100 µM sprayed at 14 days interval). The experiment was laid-out in f-Randomized Block Design with three replications. The treatment details are as follows

- T₁ - H₁N₁S₁ : Pusa Hydrogel + 100% RDN + SNP spray at 7 days interval
- T₂ - H₁N₂S₁ : Pusa Hydrogel + 75% RDN + SNP spray at 7 days interval
- T₃ - H₁N₃S₁ : Pusa Hydrogel + 50% RDN + SNP spray at 7 days interval
- T₄ - H₂N₁S₁ : Zeba + 100% RDN + SNP spray at 7 days interval
- T₅ - H₂N₂S₁ : Zeba + 75% RDN + SNP spray at 7 days interval
- T₆ - H₂N₃S₁ : Zeba + 50% RDN + SNP spray at 7 days interval
- T₇ - H₁N₁S₂ : Pusa Hydrogel + 100% RDN + SNP spray at 14 days interval
- T₈ - H₁N₂S₂ : Pusa Hydrogel + 75% RDN + SNP spray at 14 days interval
- T₉ - H₁N₃S₂ : Pusa Hydrogel + 50% RDN + SNP spray at 14 days interval
- T₁₀ - H₂N₁S₂ : Zeba + 100% RDN + SNP spray at 14 days interval
- T₁₁ - H₂N₂S₂ : Zeba + 75% RDN + SNP spray at 14 days interval
- T₁₂ - H₂N₃S₂ : Zeba + 50% RDN + SNP spray at 14 days interval

*RDN (Recommended dose of nitrogen) is applied through Calcium Ammonium Nitrate

Five plants were selected per each plot at random and were labeled properly for recording observations. The results of growth parameters were recorded as per the standard procedures outlined by different research workers. The data collected on each character was subjected to statistical analysis by ANOVA technique as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1985). The treatment means were compared by using the least significant difference values calculated at 5 per cent level of significance.

Comment [VS2]: per plot

Comment [VS3]: Mentioned the month in which this study was conducted.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data pertaining to growth analysis parameters was recorded in tables 1-4 and discussed in detail by using earlier reports published by various research workers on different crops.

1. Crop growth rate (g m⁻² day⁻¹)

Crop growth rate (CGR) in marigold cv. 'Bidhan-2' was influenced by different treatments, as shown in Table 1a&b. Significant differences in CGR were observed with individual treatments of hydrating agents and graded levels of calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN) throughout the study period, but not with sodium nitroprusside (SNP) or combination treatments. Between the two hydrating agents used, there were non-significant differences in CGR from 30-60 days after transplanting (DAT) in both years, but significant differences were noted from 60-90 DAT. Pusa hydrogel application showed the highest CGR (1.372, 1.411, and 1.392 g m⁻² day⁻¹) in 2020, 2021 and the pooled data, while Zeba application had the lowest CGR (0.959, 0.981, and 0.970 g m⁻² day⁻¹). Soil application of Pusa hydrogel mitigated heat stress by retaining moisture and providing a better root microenvironment, consistent with earlier research.

Among graded levels of CAN, non-significant differences in CGR were observed from 30-60 DAT in 2021 and the pooled data, but significant differences were seen in 2020. Soil application of 100% nitrogen in the form of CAN had the highest CGR (1.292 g m⁻² day⁻¹) in 2020 and was on par with 75% nitrogen in the form of CAN, while 50% nitrogen in the form of CAN had the lowest CGR (0.961 g m⁻² day⁻¹). Soil application of 75% nitrogen in the form of CAN was optimal, resulting in the highest CGR (1.394, 1.431 and 1.413 g m⁻² day⁻¹) from 60-90 DAT in both years and the pooled data, on par with 100% nitrogen in the form of CAN.

Sodium nitroprusside and interaction effects did not yield significant differences in CGR during both study years and in the pooled data. The present result was found in line with the earlier findings of Danmaigoro *et al.* (2017), who reported increased CGR in rice by application of herbicide for weed (biotic) control.

2. Relative growth rate (g g⁻¹ day⁻¹)

The influence of hydrating agents, graded CAN levels, SNP sprays, and their interactions on the relative growth rate (RGR) of marigold cv. 'Bidhan-2' was examined (Table 2a&b). No significant differences were observed in RGR among different treatments, except for three combination treatments during the first year (2020) from 30-60 days after transplanting (DAT).

Among the interactions of hydrogels, CAN levels, and SNP spray intervals, significant differences in RGR were noted during 2020 from 30-60 DAT. The treatment combining Zeba soil application, 50% CAN, and SNP spraying at 7-day intervals had the highest RGR (0.0410 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹), while Zeba soil application, 50% CAN, and SNP spraying at 14-day intervals had the lowest RGR (0.0394 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹).

In summary, the overall data showed no significant differences among treatments in RGR. However, the combination of Zeba soil application, 50% CAN, and SNP spraying at 7-day intervals resulted in superior RGR during early vegetative growth, likely due to improved nutrient supply, stress alleviation, and enhanced moisture retention. On the other hand, the combination of Zeba soil application, 50% CAN, and SNP spraying at 14-day intervals had the lowest RGR, possibly due to sub-optimal growth conditions during a critical vegetative growth phase. The interplay of hydrogel, nitrogen content, and SNP spray intervals played a complex role in affecting plant growth and development.

Comment [VS4]: Sub-optimal

3. Net assimilation rate ($\text{g m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$)

The data concerning the impact of various hydrating agents, graded levels of calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN), sodium nitroprusside (SNP) sprays, and their interactions on the net assimilation rate (NAR) in marigold cv. 'Bidhan-2' is summarized in Table 3a&b. Notable variation in NAR was observed due to the application of different CAN levels during both study years and in the pooled data mean. Soil application of Zeba hydrogel exhibited significantly higher NAR at $0.00057 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ in 2020 and $0.00056 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ in the pooled data, particularly during the early stages of plant growth, primarily in the first year. In contrast, Pusa hydrogel showed a significantly lower NAR at $0.00044 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ in 2020 and $0.00043 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ in the pooled data within the 30-60 DAT period.

This indicates that Pusa hydrogel had a positive impact on the physiological processes responsible for assimilation and growth, leading to more efficient photosynthesis and carbon assimilation. In contrast, Zeba hydrogel had a lower NAR, suggesting less support for photosynthesis and carbon assimilation during the 30-60 DAT period, potentially resulting in reduced plant growth. The observed differences between the two hydrating agents may be attributed to their varying capabilities in terms of water retention, aeration, and nutrient holding in the root zone. However, these differences are relatively minor, contributing to non-significant variations in NAR, which aligns with previous research in rice by Danmaigoro et al. (2017).

Regarding the different levels of CAN, significant differences in NAR were noticed during both study years and in the pooled data. Soil application of 50% nitrogen in the form of CAN resulted in a significantly higher NAR in both the 30-60 DAT and 60-90 DAT intervals, with values of $0.00073 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ and $0.00069 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ in 2020 and 2021, and $0.00071 \text{ g m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$ in the pooled data. In contrast, soil application of 75% nitrogen in the form of CAN

recorded the lowest NAR in both the 30-60 DAT and 60-90 DAT intervals, with values of 0.00037 g m⁻² day⁻¹ and 0.00035 g m⁻² day⁻¹ in 2020 and 2021, and 0.00036 g m⁻² day⁻¹ in the pooled data, and was on par with 100% nitrogen applied in the form of CAN during both study years and in the pooled data.

In conclusion, soil application of 50% nitrogen in the form of CAN was sufficient to stimulate physiological activities that increased NAR compared to higher doses of CAN. Higher doses of CAN did not have a positive influence on NAR and may have led to imbalanced physiological processes, reducing photosynthesis and growth rates. This highlights the importance of employing a balanced nutrient management approach in marigold cultivation, emphasizing the selection of the appropriate type and dose of nitrogen fertilizer to optimize growth, development, and overall productivity. Present result was found in line with the earlier findings of Danmaigoro *et al.* (2017) in rice.

4. Specific leaf weight (g dry matter m⁻² leaf)

The data regarding the impact of hydrating agents, graded levels of calcium ammonium nitrate (CAN), and sodium nitroprusside (SNP) spray intervals on specific leaf weight (SLW) in marigold cv. 'Bidhan-2' is presented in Table 4a&b. Significant differences were observed due to the application of different hydrating agents, graded levels of CAN, and SNP spray intervals.

Among the two hydrating agents used, Zeba hydrogel resulted in significantly higher SLW. It recorded 42.86, 42.79 and 42.83 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively during 30-60 DAT. During 60-90 DAT, Zeba hydrogel showed 44.99, 45.91 and 45.45 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively. In contrast, Pusa hydrogel displayed significantly lower SLW, with 35.35, 35.16 and 35.25 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively during 30-60 DAT. In the 60-90 DAT period, it had 36.61, 36.94 and 36.80 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively. This difference in SLW may be due to the mitigating effect of Zeba hydrogel on temperature stress in plants. These findings align with previous studies, such as Kolozsvari *et al.* (2020) and Karwa *et al.* (2020) which reported higher SLW in plants exposed to high-temperature stress but contradicts with Huang *et al.* (2020). However, it's worth noting that the relationship between SLW and temperature stress may vary among different plant species and genetic traits.

Comment [VS5]: Reference should be quoted of flower crops, if possible.

Significant differences were observed in SLW due to the graded levels of CAN. The application of 50% nitrogen in the form of CAN resulted in significantly higher SLW. It showed 55.62, 55.76 and 55.69 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in the pooled data mean respectively during 30-60 DAT. In 60-90 DAT, it recorded 58.46, 59.86 and 59.16 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively. On the other hand, the application of 75% nitrogen in the form of CAN resulted in significantly lower SLW, with 30.40, 30.37 and 30.38 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively during 30-60 DAT. In 60-90 DAT, it had 27.98, 28.27, and 28.13 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively. Here, it's clear that lower doses of nitrogen (50% CAN) enhanced the accumulation of dry matter in leaves, resulting in higher SLW values. These findings support earlier research, like Posada et al. (2012), which indicated that SLW could be influenced by environmental factors such as solar radiation and temperature.

Significant differences in SLW were found between the two spray intervals of sodium nitroprusside (SNP). Foliar application of SNP at a 14-day interval led to significantly higher SLW, registering 42.34, 41.77 and 42.06 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively during 30-60 DAT. In 60-90 DAT, it showed 41.74 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020 and 41.89 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in the pooled data. In contrast, foliar application of SNP at a 7-day interval resulted in significantly lower SLW, with values of 35.87, 36.18 and 36.02 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively during 30-60 DAT. In 60-90 DAT, it recorded 39.91 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020 and 40.36 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in the pooled data. This demonstrates that the spray interval of SNP has a significant impact on SLW. A 14-day interval promoted better accumulation of carbohydrates and metabolites during leaf growth and development.

The interaction effects of different hydrating agents, graded levels of CAN, and spray intervals of SNP also played a significant role in SLW. Soil application of Zeba hydrogel, combined with 50% nitrogen in the form of CAN, resulted in the highest SLW, with 57.34, 58.08 and 57.71 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively in the pooled data during 30-60 DAT. In 60-90 DAT, it displayed 60.24, 62.37 and 61.30 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively. Conversely, soil application of Pusa hydrogel with 75% nitrogen in the form of CAN led to significantly lower SLW, recording 23.18, 23.61 and 23.39 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively

during 30-60 DAT. In 60-90 DAT, it showed 16.49, 16.71 and 16.60 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively. Soil application of 50% nitrogen in the form of CAN combined with a 14-day interval of SNP demonstrated significantly higher SLW. These results are consistent with the earlier findings of Posada et al. (2012) regarding the significant impact of different factors on SLW. Huang *et al.* (2020) noticed an increase in the SLW of rice with a reduction in the daily temperature/increase in daily solar radiation *i.e.*, under heat and temperature stress conditions.

The interaction effects between graded levels of CAN and SNP spray intervals showed that soil application of 50% nitrogen in the form of CAN combined with a 14-day interval of SNP resulted in significantly higher SLW. It registered 59.54, 58.53 and 59.03 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively during 30-60 DAT. In 60-90 DAT, it displayed 62.54, 62.83 and 62.68 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively. Conversely, soil application of 75% nitrogen in the form of CAN combined with a 7-day interval of SNP led to significantly lower SLW, with values of 26.20, 26.34 and 26.27 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively during 30-60 DAT. In 60-90 DAT, it recorded 27.74, 27.99 and 27.87 g dry matter m⁻² leaf in 2020, 2021 in the pooled data mean respectively. Soil application of 50% nitrogen in the form of CAN combined with a 14-day interval of SNP exhibited the highest SLW, emphasizing the significance of these interactions in influencing SLW. These results underscore the importance of selecting the right combination of factors to optimize SLW during marigold cultivation.

Among the treatments, soil application of 50% nitrogen in the form of CAN and foliar application of SNP at 14 days interval in combination with either of the hydrogel recorded higher SLW in between 30-60 DAT as well as 60-90 DAT during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data mean, whereas soil application of 75% nitrogen in the form of CAN and foliar application of SNP at 7 days interval in combination with Pusa hydrogel recorded significantly lowest SLW (14.55, 15.27 and 14.91 g dry matter m⁻² leaf respectively during 2020, 2021 and the pooled data mean) in between 30-60 DAT as well as 60-90 DAT, which was found at par with the soil application of 75% nitrogen in the form of CAN and foliar application of SNP at 14 days interval in combination with Pusa hydrogel. Based on the critical analysis of these results, it may be concluded that soil application of 50% nitrogen in the form of CAN and foliar application of SNP at 14 days interval in combination with either of the hydrogel recorded higher

SLW during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data mean. Soil application of 50% nitrogen in the form of CAN and foliar application of SNP at 14 days interval in combination with either of the hydrogel applied in soil might have created better microclimate around the plant cultivated during summer thus consistently recorded increased SLW of marigold during both the years of study as well as in the pooled data mean.

CONCLUSION

Based on these findings, a treatment that combines Pusa hydrogel for better flowering and Zeba hydrogel for enhanced early vegetative growth, with 50% nitrogen in the form of CAN, and a 7-day interval for SNP spraying, could be considered as a balanced choice for overall growth and performance during the increasing hot summer conditions year by year under Rayalaseema conditions in Andhra Pradesh.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper forms the part of Ph.D. thesis of the first author in the Dept. of Floriculture & Landscape Architecture to be submitted to the Dr. Y.S.R Horticultural University, Venkataramannagudem, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Table 1(a): Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on crop growth rate ($\text{g m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

Treatments	CGR ($\text{g m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) from 30-60 DAT																	
	First year (2019-20)				Second year (2020-21)				Pooled data analysis									
	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
H ₁	1.306	1.333	0.989	1.209	1.339	1.367	1.022	1.243	1.322	1.350	1.006	1.226						
H ₂	1.278	1.111	0.933	1.107	1.306	1.144	0.961	1.137	1.292	1.128	0.947	1.122						
Mean	1.292	1.222	0.961		1.322	1.256	0.992		1.307	1.239	0.976							
Treatments	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean						
H ₁	1.241	1.178	1.209	1.274	1.211	1.243	1.257	1.194	1.226									
H ₂	1.141	1.074	1.107	1.170	1.104	1.137	1.156	1.089	1.122									
Mean	1.191	1.126		1.222	1.157		1.206	1.142										
Treatments	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
S ₁	1.294	1.272	1.006	1.191	1.322	1.306	1.039	1.222	1.308	1.289	1.022	1.206						
S ₂	1.289	1.172	0.917	1.126	1.322	1.206	0.944	1.157	1.306	1.189	0.931	1.142						
Mean	1.292	1.222	0.961		1.322	1.256	0.992		1.307	1.239	0.976							
Treatments	N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃	
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂
H ₁	1.322	1.289	1.378	1.289	1.022	0.956	1.356	1.322	1.411	1.322	1.056	0.989	1.339	1.306	1.394	1.306	1.039	0.972
H ₂	1.267	1.289	1.167	1.056	0.989	0.878	1.289	1.322	1.200	1.089	1.022	0.900	1.278	1.306	1.183	1.072	1.006	0.889
Source	SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%							
H	0.060		NS		0.109		NS		0.084		NS							
N	0.074		0.216		0.133		NS		0.103		NS							
S	0.104		NS		0.109		NS		0.084		NS							
H x N	0.060		NS		0.189		NS		0.145		NS							
H x S	0.085		NS		0.154		NS		0.119		NS							
S x N	0.104		NS		0.189		NS		0.145		NS							
H x N x S	0.147		NS		0.267		NS		0.205		NS							

Table 1(b): Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on crop growth rate ($\text{g m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

Treatments	CGR ($\text{g m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) from 60-90 DAT																	
	First year (2019-20)				Second year (2020-21)				Pooled data analysis									
	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
H ₁	1.439	1.800	0.878	1.372	1.478	1.850	0.906	1.411	1.458	1.825	0.892	1.392						
H ₂	1.133	0.989	0.756	0.959	1.161	1.011	0.772	0.981	1.147	1.000	0.764	0.970						
Mean	1.286	1.394	0.817		1.319	1.431	0.839		1.303	1.413	0.828							
Treatments	S ₁	S ₂	Mean		S ₁	S ₂	Mean		S ₁	S ₂	Mean							
H ₁	1.526	1.219	1.372		1.570	1.252	1.411		1.548	1.235	1.392							
H ₂	1.022	0.896	0.959		1.044	0.919	0.981		1.033	0.907	0.970							
Mean	1.274	1.057			1.307	1.085			1.291	1.071								
Treatments	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
S ₁	1.350	1.589	0.883	1.274	1.383	1.633	0.906	1.307	1.367	1.611	0.894	1.291						
S ₂	1.222	1.200	0.750	1.057	1.256	1.228	0.772	1.085	1.239	1.214	0.761	1.071						
Mean	1.286	1.394	0.817		1.319	1.431	0.839		1.303	1.413	0.828							
Treatments	N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃	
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂
H ₁	1.478	1.400	2.156	1.444	0.944	0.811	1.511	1.444	2.222	1.478	0.978	0.833	1.494	1.422	2.189	1.461	0.961	0.822
H ₂	1.222	1.044	1.022	0.956	0.822	0.689	1.256	1.067	1.044	0.978	0.833	0.711	1.239	1.056	1.033	0.967	0.828	0.700
Source	SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%							
H	0.090		0.263		0.094		0.274		0.092		0.268							
N	0.110		0.322		0.115		0.336		0.112		0.329							
S	0.155		NS		0.094		NS		0.092		NS							
H x N	0.090		NS		0.162		NS		0.159		NS							
H x S	0.127		NS		0.132		NS		0.129		NS							
S x N	0.155		NS		0.162		NS		0.159		NS							
H x N x S	0.219		NS		0.229		NS		0.224		NS							

Table 2(a): Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on relative growth rate ($\text{g g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$) in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

Treatments	RGR ($\text{g g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$) from 30-60 DAT																	
	First year (2019-20)				Second year (2020-21)				Pooled data analysis									
	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
H ₁	0.0403	0.0401	0.0400	0.0401	0.0385	0.0382	0.0390	0.0386	0.0394	0.0392	0.0395	0.0394						
H ₂	0.0398	0.0404	0.0402	0.0401	0.0379	0.0388	0.0386	0.0384	0.0389	0.0396	0.0394	0.0393						
Mean	0.0401	0.0402	0.0401		0.0382	0.0385	0.0388		0.0392	0.0394	0.0394							
Treatments	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean						
H ₁	0.0402	0.0401	0.0401	0.0386	0.0385	0.0386	0.0394	0.0393	0.0394									
H ₂	0.0402	0.0400	0.0401	0.0384	0.0385	0.0384	0.0393	0.0393	0.0393									
Mean	0.0402	0.0400		0.0385	0.0385		0.0394	0.0393										
Treatments	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
S ₁	0.0401	0.0402	0.0404	0.0402	0.0380	0.0384	0.0392	0.0385	0.0390	0.0393	0.0398	0.0394						
S ₂	0.0401	0.0403	0.0398	0.0400	0.0385	0.0386	0.0385	0.0385	0.0393	0.0394	0.0391	0.0393						
Mean	0.0401	0.0402	0.0401		0.0382	0.0385	0.0388		0.0392	0.0394	0.0394							
Treatments	N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃	
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂
H ₁	0.0406	0.0401	0.0403	0.0400	0.0398	0.0401	0.0386	0.0385	0.0385	0.0380	0.0389	0.0391	0.0396	0.0393	0.0394	0.0391	0.0393	0.0396
H ₂	0.0396	0.0401	0.0401	0.0406	0.0410	0.0394	0.0374	0.0385	0.0384	0.0391	0.0394	0.0378	0.0385	0.0393	0.0393	0.0399	0.0402	0.0386
Source	SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%							
H	0.0001		NS		0.0031		NS		0.0015		NS							
N	0.0002		NS		0.0038		NS		0.0019		NS							
S	0.0001		NS		0.0031		NS		0.0015		NS							
H x N	0.0002		NS		0.0054		NS		0.0027		NS							
H x S	0.0002		NS		0.0044		NS		0.0022		NS							
S x N	0.0002		NS		0.0054		NS		0.0027		NS							
H x N x S	0.0003		0.00099		0.0077		NS		0.0038		NS							

Table 2(b): Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on relative growth rate ($\text{g g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$) in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

Treatments	RGR ($\text{g g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$) from 60-90 DAT																	
	First year (2019-20)				Second year (2020-21)				Pooled data analysis									
	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
H ₁	0.0193	0.0228	0.0160	0.0194	0.0190	0.0224	0.0158	0.0191	0.0192	0.0226	0.0159	0.0192						
H ₂	0.0163	0.0163	0.0162	0.0163	0.0160	0.0160	0.0158	0.0159	0.0161	0.0162	0.0160	0.0161						
Mean	0.0178	0.0196	0.0161		0.0175	0.0192	0.0158		0.0177	0.0194	0.0160							
Treatments	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean						
H ₁	0.0208	0.0180	0.0194	0.0205	0.0177	0.0191	0.0206	0.0178	0.0192									
H ₂	0.0166	0.0159	0.0163	0.0162	0.0157	0.0159	0.0164	0.0158	0.0161									
Mean	0.0187	0.0170		0.0183	0.0167		0.0185	0.0168										
Treatments	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
S ₁	0.0182	0.0213	0.0165	0.0187	0.0179	0.0209	0.0162	0.0183	0.0181	0.0211	0.0163	0.0185						
S ₂	0.0174	0.0178	0.0157	0.0167	0.0171	0.0174	0.0155	0.0167	0.0172	0.0176	0.0156	0.0168						
Mean	0.0178	0.0196	0.0161		0.0175	0.0192	0.0158		0.0177	0.0194	0.0160							
Treatments	N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃	
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂
H ₁	0.0193	0.0193	0.0264	0.0192	0.0166	0.0155	0.0189	0.0191	0.0260	0.0188	0.0165	0.0152	0.0191	0.0192	0.0262	0.0190	0.0165	0.0154
H ₂	0.0172	0.0154	0.0162	0.0165	0.0164	0.0160	0.0169	0.0151	0.0158	0.0161	0.0159	0.0158	0.0170	0.0153	0.0160	0.0163	0.0161	0.0159
Source	SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%							
H	0.0018		NS		0.0018		NS		0.0018		NS							
N	0.0022		NS		0.0022		NS		0.0022		NS							
S	0.0018		NS		0.0018		NS		0.0018		NS							
H x N	0.0031		NS		0.0031		NS		0.0031		NS							
H x S	0.0026		NS		0.0026		NS		0.0026		NS							
S x N	0.0031		NS		0.0031		NS		0.0031		NS							
H x N x S	0.0044		NS		0.0044		NS		0.0044		NS							

Table 3(a): Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on net assimilation rate ($\text{g m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

Treatments	NAR ($\text{g m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) from 30-60 DAT																	
	First year (2019-20)				Second year (2020-21)				Pooled data analysis									
	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
H ₁	0.00037	0.00025	0.00069	0.00044	0.00035	0.00024	0.00066	0.00042	0.00036	0.00025	0.00068	0.00043						
H ₂	0.00046	0.00049	0.00076	0.00057	0.00044	0.00047	0.00073	0.00055	0.00045	0.00048	0.00075	0.00056						
Mean	0.00042	0.00037	0.00073		0.00040	0.00035	0.00069		0.00041	0.00036	0.00071							
Treatments	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean									
H ₁	0.00038	0.00050	0.00044	0.00036	0.00047	0.00042	0.00037	0.00048	0.00043									
H ₂	0.00055	0.00060	0.00057	0.00052	0.00057	0.00055	0.00053	0.00058	0.00056									
Mean	0.00047	0.00055		0.00044	0.00052		0.00045	0.00053										
Treatments	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
S ₁	0.00038	0.00033	0.00069	0.00047	0.00036	0.00031	0.00066	0.00044	0.00037	0.00032	0.00067	0.00045						
S ₂	0.00046	0.00042	0.00077	0.00055	0.00044	0.00040	0.00073	0.00052	0.00045	0.00041	0.00075	0.00053						
Mean	0.00042	0.00037	0.00073		0.00040	0.00035	0.00069		0.00041	0.00036	0.00071							
Treatments	N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃	
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂
H ₁	0.00034	0.00040	0.00017	0.00034	0.00064	0.00074	0.00032	0.00038	0.00016	0.00032	0.00061	0.00071	0.00033	0.00039	0.00016	0.00033	0.00063	0.00073
H ₂	0.00042	0.00051	0.00049	0.00049	0.00073	0.00080	0.00040	0.00049	0.00047	0.00047	0.00070	0.00075	0.00041	0.00050	0.00048	0.00048	0.00072	0.00077
Source	SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%							
H	0.00003		0.00008		0.00005		NS		0.00004		0.00011							
N	0.00004		0.00010		0.00006		0.00017		0.00005		0.00014							
S	0.00003		NS		0.00005		NS		0.00004		NS							
H x N	0.00005		NS		0.00008		NS		0.00007		NS							
H x S	0.00004		NS		0.00007		NS		0.00005		NS							
S x N	0.00005		NS		0.00008		NS		0.00007		NS							
H x N x S	0.00007		NS		0.00012		NS		0.00009		NS							

Table 3(b): Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on net assimilation rate ($\text{g m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

Treatments	NAR ($\text{g m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$) from 60-90 DAT																	
	First year (2019-20)				Second year (2020-21)				Pooled data analysis									
	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
H ₁	0.00017	0.00012	0.00025	0.00018	0.00016	0.00011	0.00023	0.00017	0.00017	0.00011	0.00024	0.00017						
H ₂	0.00016	0.00018	0.00025	0.00020	0.00015	0.00016	0.00023	0.00018	0.00016	0.00017	0.00024	0.00019						
Mean	0.00017	0.00015	0.00025		0.00016	0.00014	0.00023		0.00016	0.00014	0.00024							
Treatments	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean						
H ₁	0.00017	0.00018	0.00018	0.00016	0.00017	0.00017	0.00017	0.00018	0.00017	0.00018	0.00017	0.00017						
H ₂	0.00019	0.00020	0.00020	0.00018	0.00019	0.00018	0.00019	0.00019	0.00019	0.00019	0.00019	0.00019						
Mean	0.00018	0.00019		0.00017	0.00018		0.00018	0.00019		0.00018	0.00019							
Treatments	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
S ₁	0.00017	0.00014	0.00024	0.00018	0.00016	0.00013	0.00022	0.00017	0.00016	0.00014	0.00023	0.00018						
S ₂	0.00017	0.00015	0.00026	0.00019	0.00016	0.00014	0.00024	0.00018	0.00016	0.00015	0.00025	0.00019						
Mean	0.00017	0.00015	0.00025		0.00016	0.00014	0.00023		0.00016	0.00014	0.00024							
Treatments	N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃	
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂
H ₁	0.00017	0.00017	0.00011	0.00012	0.00024	0.00026	0.00016	0.00016	0.00010	0.00011	0.00022	0.00024	0.00016	0.00017	0.00011	0.00012	0.00023	0.00025
H ₂	0.00016	0.00016	0.00018	0.00018	0.00024	0.00026	0.00015	0.00015	0.00016	0.00017	0.00022	0.00024	0.00016	0.00016	0.00017	0.00017	0.00023	0.00025
Source	SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%							
H	0.00002		NS		0.00002		NS		0.00002		NS							
N	0.00002		0.00007		0.00002		0.00006		0.00002		0.00007							
S	0.00002		NS		0.00002		NS		0.00002		NS							
H x N	0.00003		NS		0.00003		NS		0.00003		NS							
H x S	0.00003		NS		0.00003		NS		0.00003		NS							
S x N	0.00003		NS		0.00003		NS		0.00003		NS							
H x N x S	0.00005		NS		0.00004		NS		0.00005		NS							

Table 4(a): Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on SLW (g dry matter m⁻² leaf) in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

Treatments	Specific leaf weight (g dry matter m ⁻² leaf) from 30-60 DAT																	
	First year (2019-20)				Second year (2020-21)				Pooled data analysis									
	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
H ₁	28.98	23.18	53.89	35.35	28.43	23.61	53.44	35.16	28.70	23.39	53.67	35.25						
H ₂	33.61	37.63	57.34	42.86	33.16	37.13	58.08	42.79	33.39	37.38	57.71	42.83						
Mean	31.30	30.40	55.62		30.80	30.37	55.76		31.05	30.38	55.69							
Treatments	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean						
H ₁	30.57	40.13	35.35	30.94	39.37	35.16	30.76	39.75	35.25									
H ₂	41.17	44.55	42.86	41.41	44.18	42.79	41.29	44.37	42.83									
Mean	35.87	42.34		36.18	41.77		36.02	42.06										
Treatments	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
S ₁	29.72	26.20	51.69	35.87	29.19	26.34	52.99	36.18	29.46	26.27	52.34	36.02						
S ₂	32.87	34.61	59.54	42.34	32.40	34.39	58.53	41.77	32.64	34.50	59.03	42.06						
Mean	31.30	30.40	55.62		30.80	30.37	55.76		31.05	30.38	55.69							
Treatments	N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃	
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂
H ₁	28.03	29.92	14.55	31.80	49.13	58.66	27.34	29.52	15.27	31.95	50.22	56.65	27.69	29.72	14.91	31.87	49.68	57.65
H ₂	31.41	35.82	37.84	37.42	54.26	60.43	31.04	35.29	37.42	36.84	55.77	60.40	31.22	35.55	37.63	37.13	55.01	60.41
Source	SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%							
H	0.405		1.188		0.475		1.392		0.404		1.184							
N	0.496		1.455		0.581		1.705		0.494		1.450							
S	0.405		1.188		0.475		1.392		0.404		1.184							
H x N	0.701		2.057		0.822		2.411		0.699		2.051							
H x S	0.573		1.680		0.671		1.969		0.571		1.674							
S x N	0.701		2.057		0.822		2.411		0.699		2.051							
H x N x S	0.992		2.909		1.163		3.410		0.989		2.900							

Table 4(b): Influence of hydrogels, CAN and SNP on SLW (g dry matter m⁻² leaf) in marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. 'Bidhan-2'

Treatments	Specific leaf weight (g dry matter m ⁻² leaf) from 60-90 DAT																	
	First year (2019-20)				Second year (2020-21)				Pooled data analysis									
	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
H ₁	36.82	16.49	56.68	36.61	36.76	16.71	57.36	36.94	36.79	16.60	57.02	36.80						
H ₂	35.26	39.47	60.24	44.99	35.53	39.83	62.37	45.91	35.39	39.65	61.30	45.45						
Mean	36.04	27.98	58.46		36.14	28.27	59.86		36.09	28.13	59.16							
Treatments	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean	S ₁	S ₂	Mean						
H ₁	36.53	36.80	36.66	37.26	36.62	36.94	36.89	36.71	36.80									
H ₂	43.29	46.69	44.99	44.39	47.43	45.91	43.84	47.06	45.45									
Mean	39.91	41.74		40.82	42.03		40.36	41.89										
Treatments	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean	N ₁	N ₂	N ₃	Mean						
S ₁	37.60	27.74	54.38	39.91	37.58	27.99	56.90	40.82	37.59	27.87	55.64	40.36						
S ₂	34.48	28.22	62.54	41.74	34.71	28.55	62.83	42.03	34.59	28.38	62.68	41.89						
Mean	36.04	27.98	58.46		36.14	28.27	59.86		36.09	28.13	59.16							
Treatments	N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃		N ₁		N ₂		N ₃	
	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂	S ₁	S ₂
H ₁	42.25	31.38	15.79	17.19	51.54	61.82	41.96	31.56	15.87	17.55	53.94	60.77	42.11	31.47	15.83	17.37	52.74	61.29
H ₂	32.95	37.57	39.70	39.24	57.22	63.26	33.19	37.86	40.11	39.55	59.86	64.88	33.07	37.72	39.91	39.40	58.54	64.07
Source	SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%		SEm±		CD @ 5%							
H	0.350		1.026		0.523		1.535		0.398		1.168							
N	0.429		1.257		0.641		1.880		0.488		1.430							
S	0.350		1.026		0.523		NS		0.398		1.168							
H x N	0.606		1.778		0.907		2.659		0.690		2.023							
H x S	0.495		1.451		0.740		2.171		0.563		1.651							
S x N	0.606		1.778		0.907		2.659		0.690		2.023							
H x N x S	0.857		2.514		1.282		3.760		0.975		2.860							

References

1. Panse VG and Sukhatme PV. Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. *Indian Council of Agricultural Research* Publication. 1985; 87-89.
2. Posada FC, Ulrichs C and Pérez C. Growth of spinach plants (*Spinacia oleracea* L.) exposed to excess zinc and manganese. *Agronomía Colombiana*. 2012; 30(3): 344-50.
3. Huang M, Fang S, Cao F, Chen J, Shan S, Liu Y, *et al.* Early sowing increases grain yield of machine-transplanted late-season rice under single-seed sowing. *Field Crops Research*. 2020; 253: 107832. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2020.107832>.
4. Danmaigoro O, Ishaya DB and Ibrahim U. Growth attributes and yield of rice (*Oryza sativa*. L) as affected by weed control treatments, poultry manure and stand density. *Production Agriculture and Technology Journal*. 2017; 13(1): 65-73.
5. Karwa S, Arya SS, Maurya S and Pal M. Physiological characterization of reproductive stage heat stress tolerance in contrasting rice genotypes. *Plant Physiology Reports*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40502-019-00497-1>.
6. Kolozsvari AG, Datcu A and Sala F. Morphometric and physiological analysis of *Fagus sylvatica* and *Carpinus betulus* leaves. *Research Journal of Agricultural Science*. 2020; 52(3): 66-71.

Comment [VS7]: More references should be added specially of flower crops or marigold.