

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_108514</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Identification of stable genotypes for seed yield and related traits of oat (<i>Avena sativa</i> L.) under varied conditions of North-western Himalayas</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Short Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>In this manuscript, authors conducted stability analysis to identify stable genotypes of oat for seed yield and related traits. This study may be beneficial for the scientific community as it provides information about stable genotypes across different environment.</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>More recent and result-supportive references should be added. References should be as per guidelines of journal.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	-	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is prepared according to journal writing instructions and needs minor revision. The style and language are mainly in keeping with academic standards, providing clearly expressed information in a logical order and easy to follow.</p> <p>Material and Method, and Discussion section may be written better.</p> <p>The Table 2 and 3 is too long. These tables may be used as supplementary tables.</p> <p>Overall manuscript is good.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

## Review Form 1.7

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Vijay Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, India</b>