

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_107985
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Anti-oxidant activity of Ethanol, Ethyl acetate, Hexane, and Aqueous extracts of Sri Lankan traditional poly-herbal formula Nagaradi Panchakaya.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. No 6. Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The information given into the manuscript is good and informative scientifically. However there are some suggestions to do in order to make the manuscripts more attractive and informative.</p> <p>Materials & Methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ I suggest you to also add a statistical tests to your results in order to compare the different percentages inhibition and concentrations from your in-vitro assays and to speak about the statistical analysis in the last paragraph from this section (Materials & Methods). <p>Results and Discussion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Your discussion section should answer why you obtained the observed results and also why your results are important. Do not simply restate the results. Highlight how your research contributes to the current knowledge in the field and mention the next steps or what remains; ➤ Discuss how your data compare with previous results from similar studies found in the literature and do not forget to indicate their references with number into the text; ➤ If possible add the limitations of your study in the last paragraph with a subheading. <p>References: You should use the same write guide for all references. The references (4, 5, 9, and 12) are written differently.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ndoe Guiaro Marcellin
Department, University & Country	Centre for Research on Health and Priority Pathologies, Institute of Medical Research and Medicinal Plants Studies, Cameroon