

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_107985
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Anti-oxidant activity of Ethanol, Ethyl acetate, Hexane, and Aqueous extracts of Sri Lankan traditional poly-herbal formula Nagaradi Panchakaya.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is of interest for scientific community. It presents the potential of a mixture of plant products as antioxidants source for alternative medicine</p> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. The subsections have correct structure but their content need to be thoroughly reviewed particularly the sections method and, results and discussion the comments were made on the MS file. There is no section concerning statistical analysis</p> <p>5. This manuscript has a certain credit but might be better with biological assays</p> <p>6. Several references are too old and need to be updated</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	There are little spelling issues. The comments are available on the MS file.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	This manuscript need to be revised deeply. The statistical analysis need to be done, the figures need to be revised particularly the titles. The research is not well documented that is why the references section is poor and contains very old references. The lack of discussion does not give value to this work.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Djafsia Boursou
Department, University & Country	University of Garoua, Cameroon