

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109106
Title of the Manuscript:	PARENT INVOLVEMENT TO ENHANCE STUDENTS' LEARNING IN LINGMETHANG CLUSTER SCHOOLS OF BHUTAN
Type of the Article	Short communication

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes – in any case. This paper provides comprehensive background on parental involvement's significance in educational institutions, especially during COVID-19, with clear research questions and objectives The combination of interviews, observations, and document analysis allows for a multi-faceted view of the research problem. .</p> <p>Yes – it describes the content</p> <p>The abstract is a brief summary of the paper, without going into detail.</p> <p>The structure of the document corresponds to the usual standard and is stringently executed. From my understanding, 4 levels in heading structure should be avoided.</p> <p>Basically yes. From my point of view there is one absolute weakness in the paper: There are no numbers at all. The least one would expect is the number of interviews, the type of interview (guided interview?), number of questions and type of questions, etc. The age of the students is left open. These missing elements do not let me judge the study really positively - these data belong completed!</p> <p>The references are 10 peer-reviewed research papers, 4 books, and 2 manuscripts (one other source). The references are timely, reliable, and credible. The majority of the sources are peer-reviewed research papers, which indicates that the information is well-supported by evidence. Authors are well-known and reliable researchers in the field of education.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Overall, the content is comprehensive and well-articulated.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I appreciate the recommendations, that are basically useful and might give an impact to further school development.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mag. Peter Mazohl
Department, University & Country	Austria