

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Archives of Current Research International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_ACRI_108707</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Christian entrepreneurs' Economic Growth Resulted from Integrating Churches' Entrepreneurship Education to Their Livelihood Status, a Case of Iringa Municipality.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Policy Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, it discusses the links between church and entrepreneurship. It is a new and innovative work.</b></p> <p><b>Party as the economic growth is not analysed.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the reflects the study purpose, methods, results, and findings.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, the structure of the Sections is logical.</b></p> <p><b>Yes, it follows the scientific structure.</b></p> <p><b>The references are sufficient but a little bit outdated. Only one reference refers to 2023 and 2020.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English is ok.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is relevant and interesting. The intention to integrate entrepreneurship education into church services deserves researchers' attention to be drawn to this problem.</p> <p>The list of references does not include many in-text cited works, e.g. Weber 1905; Swanepoel 2014, etc. In total, about 23 in-text cited works are not shown in the list of references. Another problem is that the names of the authors of the cited works appear in different ways in the list of references. For example, Pawar or Pawer? Olonundare or Olonundara? Baumol or Baunnol? Etc. In some references, the co-authorship is not clear: Wand 2014 or Wang&amp;Xinyu 2014? Msambichako 2015 or Msambichako &amp; et al 2015 Hisrich 2017 or Hisrich &amp; et al 2017? Etc.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jelena Zascerinska</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Centre for Education and Innovation Research, Latvia</b>