

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JSRR_108591 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of Viral Transport Media Composition on the Precision and Fidelity of High-Throughput Next-Generation Sequencing for Genomic Analysis |
| Type of the Article | Short Research Article |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Yes, this manuscript is very important to the scientific community of all stake holders in Laboratory Diagnostics and Metagenomic Researchers.</p> <p>2. Title can made catchy by adding Emerging o Importance ofat the beginning of the title.</p> <p>3. Yes irt is, the abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. They are very appropriate.</p> <p>5. Yest it is as I have a more than 20yrs working experience and 10 yrs academic involvements in this field</p> <p>6. Yes references are sufficient and recent.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>1. English language quality is very suitable for a scholarly article.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>This work is of utmost importance and can be considered as a template or check list of assessing the success of original research work to compare commercially available and in-house prepared viral transport media.</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Manosha Perera |
| Department, University & Country | School of Dentistry and Oral Health, Griffith University, Australia |