

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_108591
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Viral Transport Media Composition on the Precision and Fidelity of High-Throughput Next-Generation Sequencing for Genomic Analysis
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community, but it needs more theoretical basis.</p> <p>I suggest you change the title. The manuscript is a Short Research Article, it only works with a theoretical basis, no practical techniques or methods, so the word, for example, "Effect" is not appropriate. I leave this reflection to the authors about changing the title.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive, the subsections and the structure of the manuscript are adequate and scientifically correct, but I believe that this is a more theoretical article, without techniques, so the references are not sufficient and do not fully explore the subject at the end of the reading. The language/English quality of the article is adequate</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Short Research Article very interesting, current and enlightening. VTM and high-throughput Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) techniques and genomics will be the future of diagnoses and treatments.</p> <p>However, some points I would like to highlight below, to contribute to the article.</p> <p>There is a lack of page and line numbering, which would make it easier to suggest possible changes or addition of information.</p> <p>Improve the theoretical basis, the techniques are recent, we already have many articles to corroborate our ideas.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	France Anne Dias Ruas
Department, University & Country	Brazil