

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_108373
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICIENT PYROLYSIS OF WOOD CHIPS FEASIBILITY IN UNDERDEVELOPED COUNTRIES: EXPERIMENTATION ON PRODUCT YIELDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Yes. The manuscript is important for scientific community - Yes - The author needs to re-write the abstracts in a well-structured manner - Yes - Yes - Yes - No. The author needs to update the references to average of 2015 to date and reformat the references - The author needs to include treatment of the biomass (sawdust), where was the sawdust obtained? what type of wood sawdust? - I suggest the author needs to give the experimental design in a well-structured manner, how many grams of the sawdust goes into the pyrolyzer at a given time? - It is highly important that the author give a comprehensive procedure of the mass spectrograph by stating all the parameters used to come into conclusion for those gases generated 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Generally, there are incomplete and wrong spellings across the whole manuscript. The author needs to check spellings, and Grammers.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper can be published after all the corrections were carried out</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdullahi Abdulsalam
Department, University & Country	University of Texas at El Paso, United States