

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Research Journal of Oncology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IRJO_107367</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Restoration of Chemo-surveillance as a Top Priority to Save Cancer Patients</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>The manuscript focuses on an important aspect of cancer, which is often overlooked in the treatment of patients.</b></li> <li><b>Is OK</b></li> <li><b>Yes.</b></li> <li><b>The structure of the manuscript is correct if we consider that it is a review of concepts without experimental input.</b></li> <li><b>Yes.</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Yes, the authors use clear and understandable language</li> </ol>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>The authors' approach to the subject is extremely interesting and I agree that it is an important point to take into account in the treatment of cancer patients, especially in advanced or metastatic cases. However, I believe that you cannot claim, without a clinical trial or experimental results, that chemo-surveillance will have better results than those currently obtained by conventional treatments. This approach leads me to suggest that the conclusions are excessive conclusive for the showing evidence.</p> <p>All of which leads me to suggest that the authors indicate that chemo-surveillance should be considered as an adjuvant treatment to commonly used treatments and not a replacement therapy.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Marcela N. Garcia</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina</b>