

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_108610</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Carbon Sequestration Potential of Trees in Urban Vegetation Islands: A Case Study</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

		<p><b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>No so much</b></p> <p><b>yes</b></p> <p><b>to some extent</b></p> <p><b>to some extent</b></p> <p><b>to some extent</b></p> <p><b>to some extent</b></p> <p><b>to some extent</b> . put new ones more</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>to some extent</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p><b>Reviewer's comment</b> Hello dear</p> <p>In general, the paper subject is not new and very strong for publishing. The scientific quality is average. The relevance to the field of the journal is good.</p> <p>Some points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Article must be according to journal format.</li> <li>- The methodology must be explained better.</li> <li>- The writing of paper should be better.</li> <li>- Result must compare with other works more.</li> <li>- Figures are should be improved.</li> <li>- The references must be newer</li> </ul> <p>Best regards M.K., Kianian</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>M. K. Kianian</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Semnan University, Iran</b>