

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_108056
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-economic and Livelihood Conditions of Dry Fishers and Wholesalers: A Case Study from Coastal Dry Fishing Communities of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This manuscript can be a good reference, although I found important things to be discussed that the author didn't do. It is very clear in the abstract of this text that education is quite low among dry fisher compared to wholesalers or Mohajon. However, the conclusion of this text states that their livelihoods depend on a combination of socio-economic and educational factors. 2. I propose to focus on the socio-economic conditions of fishermen and wholesalers only, because the research object is very clear. Socioeconomic conditions will discuss activities that are a source of income for respondents, including their resilience to bad conditions around them. So the title I propose is "Socio-economic Conditions of Dry Fishers and Wholesalers: A Case Study from Coastal Dry Fishing Communities of Bangladesh" 3. It is necessary to add the research methods used in compiling this manuscript 4. I think it depends on the template prepared by the publisher, and a short but clear method design is needed 5. A scientifically correct manuscript is certainly based on research results by comparing those carried out previously by other researchers. Must show data and reasons that refer to findings and theory 6. It is best to use article references from the last ten years. If you have enough time, you should read the following article links, so that the discussion in your manuscript is more developed. Thank you https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666683922000426 https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2590291123001961 https://journal.uqm.ac.id/iig/article/download/60546/34026 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I think we can still read and understand the author's intentions in the explanation in this manuscript 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It only requires the development of several variables mentioned in the results but is not accompanied by an explanation, including additional references used. Overall it can be considered for publication after being corrected according to the instructions I mentioned above.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Andi Sitti Halimah
Department, University & Country	Muhammadiyah University of Parepare, Indonesia