

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_108401
Title of the Manuscript:	Laundromats in Bangkok Real Estate Centric Services An Industry Emerging In Response to Covid-19
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This study can be of significant benefit in identifying the improvements needed for the sustainability of laundromat services in Bangkok, especially in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic. Through a deeper understanding of customer perceptions of the service, laundromat owners and other stakeholders can take better steps to meet customer needs and improve service quality. Such research can also assist in planning more effective business strategies.</p> <p>Yes, It is recommended to added hyphens to make the title more clear. "Laundromats in Bangkok Real Estate Centric Services - An Emerging Industry in Response to Covid-19"</p> <p>Gap of the research or problem statement not clearly addressed.</p> <p>The introduction is too long. It is recommended to divide it into several section of introduction, issue or problem statement, objective of the research.</p> <p>The LR also can be divided into several subtopic. 2.1, 2.2, 2.3</p> <p>Yes, but need additional info to make it relevant. Is it 200 sample is enough for the research? Justify with sample calculation.</p> <p>Results should compare with previous research finding (either it is similar or contras). Need to add more discussion towards the results. Please refer previous research style writing for the results and discussion.</p> <p>Required to add more reference especially to compare with the previous research finding with current research finding.</p> <p>Add more recent references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Well done.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Good manuscript but required several amendments to make it more structured and clearer.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nurul Sahida Fauzi
Department, University & Country	College of Built Environment, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia