

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics and Sociology
Manuscript number:	Ms_AJAEES_107676
Manuscript Title:	MARKETING STATUS OF VARIOUS BRANDS OF INSECTICIDES AND PURCHASING BEHAVIOUR OF ONION GROWERS IN AMRELI DISTRICT
Item Type	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if you agree with the reviewer, proofread the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory for authors to write their comments here)
<p>Mandatory REVIEW Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important to the scientific community? (Please write a few sentences in this manuscript) Is the title of the article appropriate? (If not, suggest an alternate title) Is the abstract of the article complete? Are the subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions for additional references, please mention them on the review form. <p><u>(Apart from the 6 points mentioned above, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>It is very important for the scientific community to study the use of insecticides to know what type of insecticide producers use in order to know the impact that use may have.</p> <p>That is fine, but I would suggest that it could be "Insecticide Marketing Site in Onion Production in ASireli District"</p> <p>it's clear</p> <p>it is in accordance with the structure of a scientific article.</p> <p>This article is very well founded and ordered. The manuscript is solid enough and technically sound because it presents the originality is very clear.</p> <p>The number of references is sufficient to be able to justify this work. But it would be good if the researcher did not include anonymous authors in the bibliography, the idea of this type of scientific articles would not hinder other researchers who use these works as a reference</p>	
<p>Minor REVIEW Comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language of communication between researchers from different areas or topics is written in English (universal language) for research and academic training</p>	
<p>Optional/General Comments</p>	<p>The article is very well founded and organized which has its originality. But in the conclusions, the objectives should be used and the word etc. should not be used. It would be good if the author could change by (and others)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rolando Mamani Quispe
Department, University & Country	Universidad Mayor de San Andrés, Bolivia