

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Applied Life Sciences International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JALSI_108330</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>IN-VITRO CYTOTOXICITY OF EXTRACTS OF SELECTED MALARIA MEDICINAL PLANTS USED BY TRADITIONAL HEALERS OF KERICHO EAST SUB-COUNTY, KENYA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>2. <b>Suitable</b></p> <p>3. <b>Abstract is no lengthy it is not comprehensive .</b></p> <p>4. <b>Manuscript is appropriate, preferably with minor corrections.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Yes</b></p> <p>6. <b>Author should have gone through some more reference.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. <b>Authors have to reveal, on what basis they have selected the solvents for maceration, because DCM is normally wont select for the maceration process.</b></p> <p>2. <b>Author's did not mentioned the duration of maceration process.</b></p> <p>3. <b>Authors did not carried out the phytochemical screening for all the herbs with different extracts. It is mandatory to know the presence of secondary metabolites in each herbal extract.</b></p> <p>4. <b>What are the phytoconstituents responsible for the cytotoxic studies showed good results only in H2O : MeOH extract in all the three plants.</b></p> <p>5. <b>Author's should re-write the results with clarity</b></p> <p>6. <b>There is no co-relation with title and conclusion part. Please re-write the conclusion part.</b></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Hemalatha. K</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Acharya &amp; BM Reddy College of Pharmacy, India</b>