

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_108557</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Tamarind Cultivation, Value-Added Products and Their Health Benefits: A Review</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p>1. This manuscript should be better focused; the authors cover different topics that are not related to each other. It does not meet the criteria that a literature review should have; it does not describe how the research was carried out and the search criteria for the articles on which this review is based.</p> <p>2. The title does not clearly express what is intended with this review.</p> <p>3. The abstract is very extensive; it has a lot of information that should go in another point such as the introduction. In the abstract there is no reference to the methodology that was used in the literature review, nor is there any allusion to the results obtained from the analysis. of the articles.</p> <p>4. The manuscript does not comply with the structure of a bibliographic review. There is no description of the methodology used and the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the researched literature. Much of the information provided both in the introduction and in the rest of the article is not supported by the corresponding bibliographic citations that should support a review article. There is no discussion of the results obtained and the conclusion repeats many contents that have already been delivered in the previous sections. There is no conclusion that clearly allows us to give a vision of the results obtained.</p> <p>5. I suggest the authors organize the information provided, which, as it is a bibliographic review, must have an adequate base of reviewed articles, especially in this manuscript in which different topics of the tamarind plant are analysed.</p> <p>6. Yes, the references are sufficient, some have been published for more than 10 years and others that are not published articles do not have a reference to the source from which the information was obtained.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>It is suggested to review the writing and grammar of the paragraphs</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eugenia Henriquez-D'Aquino</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Chile, Chile</b>