

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107978
Title of the Manuscript:	“Effect of plant growth regulators and bio-fertilizer on growth and quality of fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.)
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript describes the effect of plant growth regulators and bio-fertilizer on growth and quality of fenugreek. It is important to collect the fundamental knowledge/data of this work.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, but requires further improvement.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>The discussion is not in-depth. Require adding more references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but requires further improvement.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In this work "Ms_IJPSS_107978", the authors determined the effect of plant growth regulators and bio-fertilizer on growth and quality of fenugreek. The experimental design is simple and not particularly innovative.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract: It would be good to show the full name of abbreviation at the first time of the usage, such as PSB, KSB, GA3, NAA. Introduction: This section is not good well written. Lack of information about the main materials and literature of review. Materials and Method: This section is not good well written and must be rewritten. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Please include additional data of yield characteristics: fresh & dry weight of plants. Please convert text under section of "c. Chemical properties of experimental field:" into Table form. What type of statistical analysis technique used in this study? Please include in this section. Results & Discussion: <p>Please convert table data (Table 2-4) to chart/graph form. How do you determine the ascorbic & chlorophyll content in this work? Please use appropriate method. The discussion is not in-depth. Please add some more references.</p> Conclusion: The conclusion was too long. Please rewrite a solid conclusion. 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Azizul Isha
Department, University & Country	Institute of Bioscience, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia