

Original Research Article

Enzymase activity of gut microbes Isolated from the Rugose Spiraling Whitefly *Aleurodicusrugioperculatus*

Abstract

This study investigated the enzymatic diversity among various bacterial isolates of Rugose Spiraling Whitefly (*Aleurodicusrugioperculatus*), shedding light on their potential industrial applications. Notably, *Bacillus cereus* (MRSW01) exhibited remarkable amylase and lipase activities, aligning with its reputation as a prominent amylase producer. These enzymes are crucial in the food industry, particularly in starch and lipid degradation processes. *Pseudomonas helleri* (CHRSW028) demonstrated substantial cellulase activity, essential for breaking down cellulose in plant materials, suggesting its relevance in biofuel production and waste management. *Pseudomonas psychrophila* (CRSW024) stood out with the highest pectinase and significant lipase activities, both of which find applications in the food and textile industries and the hydrolysis of fats and oils, respectively. *Proteus vulgaris* strains MRSW05 and CHRSW02 displayed significant amylase, cellulase, and protease activities, making them versatile candidates for various industrial applications, including detergent formulations and bioremediation processes. Conversely, *Hafnia paralvei* exhibited comparatively lower enzymatic activities, indicating limited industrial potential. The rich enzymatic diversity within these bacterial isolates and highlight their potential contributions to various industrial sectors, ranging from food and textiles to biofuel production and waste management. Such insights can inform the development of biotechnological applications and processes, ultimately benefitting both industrial and environmental domains.

Introduction

Invasive species pose a significant threat to the ecological and economic well-being of countries (Pimentel *et al.*, 2001). Over the past decade, India has experienced accidental introductions of several invasive soft scale insects and fall armyworms, with some of them becoming serious pests while others have expanded their host ranges and spread rapidly (Joshi, 2017). A recent study by Paini *et al.* (2016) revealed that approximately 1,300 species of invasive insect pests have been introduced into 124 different countries.

One such invasive insect pest is the Rugose Spiraling Whitefly (*Aleurodicus rugioperculatus*), which poses a significant threat to coconut trees and various plant species. This whitefly, native to Central America and belonging to the Aleyrodidae family, has rapidly spread to different parts of the world, causing substantial damage to coconut plantations and posing a significant challenge to agricultural and horticultural industries (Prasanna *et al.*, 2022). It derives its name from the distinctive spiraling pattern created by its nymphs on the undersides of coconut tree leaves. These nymphs, often referred to as "spirals," secrete wax and form dense clusters on the leaves, leading to reduced photosynthetic activity and overall weakening of the tree. This infestation results in leaf yellowing, premature defoliation, and reduced coconut production, causing economic losses for farmers and coconut growers (Saranya *et al.*, 2022).

The Rugose Spiraling Whitefly has been documented in various countries, including India, the Philippines, Sri Lanka, and parts of Africa, among others. Its rapid spread and establishment in new areas have made it a significant concern for coconut cultivation and plant health authorities worldwide. To combat this invasive pest, efforts are underway to implement integrated pest management strategies, including cultural practices, biological control methods, and the judicious use of insecticides (Sivakumar *et al.*, 2017). Understanding the life cycle and behavior of the Rugose Spiraling Whitefly is crucial for developing effective control measures and minimizing its impact on coconut crops.

Whiteflies, including the Rugose Spiraling Whitefly, primarily feed on plant sap, which is nutrient-poor (Saranya *et al.*, 2022). To supplement their nutritional requirements, whiteflies have developed symbiotic relationships with microorganisms in their guts. These microorganisms aid in the digestion and breakdown of complex sugars and amino acids found in plant sap, providing essential nutrients to the whiteflies (Jones *et al.*, 2019).

It's worth noting that there are currently no studies on the cultivable gut bacterial diversity in Rugose Spiraling Whiteflies that infest host plants. A study was designed to uncover the cultivable gut bacterial diversity of Rugose Spiraling Whiteflies collected from different locations on host plants, along with an exploration of their functional significance. This research aims to enhance our understanding of the whitefly's gut microbiota and its potential implications for whitefly management and control.

Materials and method

Gut isolates of *Aleurodicusrugioperculatus* Martin was tested for the production of amylase by employing zone clearing technique using starch agar medium. The inoculated plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h. After incubation, the zone of hydrolysis of starch was detected by flooding the plates with 1per cent iodine solution. The plates were incubated for observation. Development of blue colour of the media indicates the presence of starch, while the areas around the bacterial colonies appears clear shows the production of amylase. Negative control was also maintained with other microbe (Atlas *et al.*, 1995).

The plate assay was performed using 1per cent CMC agar plates. After agar solidification, around 10 mm diameter of well was cut out aseptically with the help of cork borer. The well was filled with Gut isolates of *Aleurodicusrugioperculatus* Martin culture grown in broth and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 h. Plates were flooded with Gram's iodine solution. Gram's iodine formed a bluish-black complex withcellulose, giving a sharp and distinct zone around the cellulase-producing microbial colonies within 3 to 5 minutes. Negative control was also maintained with other microbe (Kasana*et al.*, 2008).

The assay for pectinase production form Gut isolates of *Aleurodicusrugioperculatus* Martin was done by inoculating the organisms on the pectinase screening agar medium (PSAM) plates containing 1g pectin, 0.3g Diammonium orthophosphate, 0.2g KH₂PO₄, 0.3g K₂HPO₄, 0.01 g MgSO₄ and 2.5 g agar in 100 ml incubated at 37°C for 24 hr. The plates were flooded with 50 mM iodine solution and incubated for 15 min at 37°C. A clear zone around the growth of the bacteria indicates the production of pectinase. Negative control was also maintained with other microbe (Ceci and Loranzo 2008).

The gut bacterial isolates were inoculated on Rhodamine B agar plate medium accordingly (MsangoSoko*et al.*, 2022) The media was allowed to stand for 10 min to reduce foaming. These plates were then incubated at 37°C for 48 h. The hydrolysis of substrate causes the formation of orange to pink halos around bacterial colonies

Result and Discussion

Among the bacterial isolates tested, *Bacillus cereus* (MRSW01) exhibited the highest amylase activity at 1.250 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{ml}$ (Table 1). *Pseudomonas helleri* (CHRSW028)

demonstrated the most substantial cellulase activity (4.320 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{ml}$)(Table 2), while *Pseudomonas psychrophila* (CRSW024) had the highest pectinase activity at 0.0032 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{ml}$ (Table 3). Lipase activity was notably higher in *Bacillus cereus* (0.260 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{ml}$) (Table 4) and *Pseudomonas psychrophila* (0.280 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{ml}$)(Table 4). For protease activity, *Pseudomonas psychrophila* (0.012 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{ml}$) and *Hafnia paralvei* (CRSW08) (0.013 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{ml}$) (Table 5)exhibited the most significant activity.

These findings reveal substantial enzymatic diversity among the tested bacterial isolates. *Bacillus cereus*, a well-known amylase producer, demonstrated high amylase and lipase activities Broderick *et al.* (2004). Such enzymes find extensive applications in the food industry, particularly in starch and lipid degradation processes. *Pseudomonas helleri* displayed remarkable cellulase activity, which is essential for the degradation of cellulose in plant material, suggesting its potential role in biofuel production and waste management. *Pseudomonas psychrophila* exhibited the highest pectinase and significant lipase activity (Indiragandhi *et al.*, 2007).. Pectinase has applications in the food and textile industries, while lipases play a crucial role in the hydrolysis of fats and oils.

It is worth noting that *Proteus vulgaris* strains, MRSW05 and CHRSW02, displayed substantial amylase, cellulase, and protease activities. These enzymes have broad industrial applications, including in detergent formulations and bioremediation processes. *Hafnia paralvei* displayed relatively lower enzymatic activities, suggesting its limited industrial potential

Reference

- ATLAS, R.M., PARKS, L.C. AND BROWN, A.E., 1995. Laboratory manual of experimental microbiology. Mosby-Year Book. Inc., USA, 25.
- BRODERICK, N. A, RAFFA, K. F., GOODMAN, R. M. AND HANDELSMAN, J., 2004, Census of the bacterial community of the gypsy moth larval midgut by using culturing and culture independent methods. *Appl Environ Microbiol*, **70**:293–300.
- CECI L AND LORANZO J. 2008. Determination of enzymatic activities of commercial pectinases for the clarification of apple juice. *Food Chem.*, **61**: 237–41.
- INDIRAGANDHI, P., ANANDHAM, R., MADHAIYAN, M., POONGUZHALI, S., KIM, GH. AND SARAVANAN, Vs. Sa. T., 2007, Cultivable bacteria associated with larval gut of prothiofos-resistant, prothiofos-susceptible and fieldcaught populations of

- diamondback moth, *Plutellaxylostella* and their potential for, antagonism towards entomopathogenic fungi and host insect nutrition. *J Appl Microbiol*, **103**:2664–2675.
- JONES, A. G., MASON, C. J. AND FELTON, G.W., 2019, Host plant and population source drive diversity of microbial gut communities in two polyphagous insects. *Sci Rep*, **9**: 2792
- JOSHI, S. AND RAMESHKUMAR, A., 2017 Silent foray of three soft scale insects in India. *Curr. Sci.*, **112** (3) : 629–635.
- KASANA, R.C., SALWAN, R., DHAR, H., DUTT, S. AND GULATI, A., 2008. A rapid and easy method for the detection of microbial cellulases on agar plates using Gram's iodine. *Curr. Microbiol.*, **57** :503-507.
- MSANGOSOKO, K., GANDOTRA, S., BHATTACHARYA, R., RAMAKRISHNAN, B., SHARMA, K. AND SUBRAMANIAN, S., 2022. Screening and characterization of lipase producing bacteria isolated from the gut of a lepidopteran larvae, *Samia ricini*. *J. Asia Pac. Entomol*, **25**(1):101856.
- PAINI, D. R., SHEPPARDA, A. W., COOK, D. C., DE BARRO, P. J., WORNER, S. P. AND MATTHEW, B. T., 2016. Global threat to agriculture from invasive species. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A*, **113**(27) : 7575-7579
- PIMENTEL, D., MCNAIR, S., JANECKA, J., WIGHTMAN, J., SIMMONDS, C., O'CONNELL, C., WONG, E., RUSSEL, L., ZERN, J., AQUINO, T. AND TSOMONDO, T., 2001 Economic and environmental threats of alien plant, animal, and microbe invasions. *Agric Ecosyst Environ*, **84** : 1–20.
- PRASANNA, B. M., BRUCE, A., BEYENE, Y., MAKUMBI, D., GOWDA, M., ASIM, M., MARTINELLI, S., HEAD, G. P. AND PARIMI, S., 2022, Host plant resistance for fall armyworm management in maize: relevance, status and prospects in Africa and Asia. *Theor Appl Genet*, 1-20.
- SARANYA, M., KENNEDY, J. S. AND ANANDHAM, R., 2022, Functional characterization of cultivable gut bacterial communities associated with rugose spiralling whitefly, *Aleurodicusrugioeperculatus* Martin. *3 Biotech*, **12**:13-14.
- SIVAKUMAR, G., RANGESHWARAN, R., YANDIGERI, M. S., MOHAN, M., VENKATESAN, T. AND VERGHESE, A., 2016, Diversity of culturable gut bacteria

associated with the field populations of cotton leafhopper (*Amrascabiguttulabiguttula*) in India. *Indian J. Agric. Sci*, **86**: 208-15.

SIVAKUMAR, G., RANGESHWARAN, R., YANDIGERI, M. S., MOHAN, M., VENKATESAN, T., BALLAL, C.R. AND VERGHESE, A., 2017, Characterization and role of gut bacterium *Bacillus pumilus* on nutrition and defense of leafhopper (*Amrascabiguttulabiguttula*) of cotton. *Indian J. Agric. Sci*, **87**:534-9.

Table 1. Production of Amylase enzyme from gut bacteria isolated from coconut rugose spiraling whitefly *Aleurodicus rugioperculatus* (Martin)

Sl no	Isolates	Strain code	Amylase $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{ml}$
1	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	MRSW01	1.250 ^{ab}
2	<i>Lederbergia. Sp.</i>	MRSW03	0.006 ^b
3	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	MRSW05	1.630 ^{ab}
4	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	CHRSW02	1.840 ^a
5	<i>Lysinibacillus fusiformis</i>	CHRSW026	0.002 ^b
6	<i>Pseudomonas helleri</i>	CHRSW028	2.160 ^a
7	<i>Pseudomonas fragi</i>	CHRSW030	1.940 ^a
8	<i>Pseudomonas psychrophila</i>	CRSW024	2.630 ^a
9	<i>Hafnia paralvei</i>	CRSW08	0.004 ^b

Table 2. Production of Cellulase enzyme from gut bacteria isolated from coconut rugose spiraling whitefly *Aleurodicus rugioperculatus* (Martin)

Sl no	Isolates	Strain code	Cellulase $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{ml}$
1	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	MRSW01	5.630 ^a
2	<i>Lederbergia. Sp.</i>	MRSW03	0.020 ^e

3	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	MRSW05	2.350 ^d
4	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	CHRSW02	2.860 ^{cd}
5	<i>Lysinibacillus fusiformis</i>	CHRSW026	0.030 ^e
6	<i>Pseudomonas helleri</i>	CHRSW028	4.320 ^{abc}
7	<i>Pseudomonas fragi</i>	CHRSW030	3.870 ^{bcd}
8	<i>Pseudomonas psychrophila</i>	CRSW024	4.730 ^{ab}
9	<i>Hafnia paralvei</i>	CRSW08	0.013 ^e

Table 3. Production of Pectinase enzyme from gut bacteria isolated from coconut rugose spiraling whitefly *Aleurodicus rugioperculatus* (Martin)

Sl no	Isolates	Strain code	Pectinase $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{ml}$
1	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	MRSW01	0.0046 ^a
2	<i>Lederbergia. Sp.</i>	MRSW03	0.0004 ^b
3	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	MRSW05	0.0002 ^b
4	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	CHRSW02	0.0001 ^b
5	<i>Lysinibacillus fusiformis</i>	CHRSW026	0.0003 ^b
6	<i>Pseudomonas helleri</i>	CHRSW028	0.0001 ^b
7	<i>Pseudomonas fragi</i>	CHRSW030	0.0006 ^b
8	<i>Pseudomonas psychrophila</i>	CRSW024	0.0032 ^a
9	<i>Hafnia paralvei</i>	CRSW08	0.0006 ^b

Table 4. Production of Lipase enzyme from gut bacteria isolated from coconut rugose spiraling whitefly *Aleurodicus rugioperculatus* (Martin)

Sl no	Isolates	Strain code	Lipase $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{ml}$
-------	----------	-------------	--

1	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	MRSW01	0.260 ^a
2	<i>Lederbergia. Sp.</i>	MRSW03	0.013 ^b
3	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	MRSW05	0.016 ^b
4	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	CHRSW02	0.018 ^b
5	<i>Lysinibacillus fusiformis</i>	CHRSW026	0.012 ^b
6	<i>Pseudomonas helleri</i>	CHRSW028	0.013 ^b
7	<i>Pseudomonas fragi</i>	CHRSW030	0.017 ^b
8	<i>Pseudomonas psychrophila</i>	CRSW024	0.280 ^a
9	<i>Hafnia paralvei</i>	CRSW08	0.013 ^b

Table 5. Production of Ptotease enzyme from gut bacteria isolated from coconut rugose spiraling whitefly *Aleurodicus rugioperculatus* (Martin)

Sl no	Isolates	Strain code	Protease $\mu\text{mol}/\text{min}/\text{ml}$
1	<i>Bacillus cereus</i>	MRSW01	0.360 ^a
2	<i>Lederbergia. Sp.</i>	MRSW03	0.015 ^b
3	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	MRSW05	0.022 ^b
4	<i>Proteus vulgaris</i>	CHRSW02	0.017 ^b
5	<i>Lysinibacillus fusiformis</i>	CHRSW026	0.340 ^a
6	<i>Pseudomonas helleri</i>	CHRSW028	0.320 ^a
7	<i>Pseudomonas fragi</i>	CHRSW030	0.013 ^b
8	<i>Pseudomonas psychrophila</i>	CRSW024	0.012 ^b
9	<i>Hafnia paralvei</i>	CRSW08	0.260 ^a