

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107816
Title of the Manuscript:	Population dynamics of mustard aphid, <i>Lipaphis erysimi</i> (Kaltenbach) on cauliflower in relation to biotic and abiotic factors
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, it is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is appropriate;</p> <p>3. No! It is very succinct! I could have more information about where the study was carried out, what the need for this study was!</p> <p>4. Yes, the subsections and manuscript structure are complete!</p> <p>5. The methodology used to quantify (observation) the number of aphids is adequate! - In Material and methods, the authors did not mention how the abiotic factors (temperature, humidity, hours of sunlight) were evaluated, and what methodology was used. The manuscript contains explanatory tables and figures with plenty of data.</p> <p>1. Yes, the manuscript contains updated references with 67% less than 10 years old. two.</p> <p>The study could be more ambitious and seek deeper results than just checking "The population dynamics of the must aphid on cauliflower in relation to biotic and abiotic factors". - Authors in the Summary must insert where it was carried out and what the need for this study is.</p> <p>The manuscript provides little information for the scientific community, as its instructions are modest. However, all subsections and the structure are correct and bring reliable results.</p> <p>➤ In my opinion, the manuscript, although modest, can be published, as soon as you enter where it was carried out and what the need for this study is!</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guido Laércio Bragança Castagnino
Department, University & Country	University of Bahia, Federal University of Bahia, Brazil