

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pediatric Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_108035
Title of the Manuscript:	SELECTING THE APPROPRIATE FIRST-LINE ANTIBIOTICS FOR TREATING UPPER RESPIRATORY TRACT INFECTION: A LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>No, it is not suitable. The title says "SELECTING THE APPROPRIATE FIRST-LINE ANTIBIOTICS FOR TREATING UPPER RESPIRATORY TRACT INFECTION". However, the manuscript does not speak about what first-line antibiotics are used for treating upper respiratory tract infections and which one is appropriate. What the author has mentioned is the role of antibiotics in URTI and the problems with prescription. My suggestion is to do a literature survey on what first-line antibiotics are used in URTI and mention the most appropriate antibiotics based on the evidence. If not the author should change the title to "RATIONAL USE OF ANTIBIOTICS IN UPPER RESPIRATORY TRACT INFECTIONS". Without the above-mentioned editing, the manuscript can be considered scientifically incorrect. Based on the changes the references should be also changed.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Krishnapradeep
Department, University & Country	University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka