

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_108397
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARING NASOTRACHEAL VS. OROTRACHEAL INTUBATION FOR PATIENTS IN THE INTENSIVE CARE
Type of the Article	Narrative review article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, it is. This title can be very important if it was an original article or a meta review article and the submitted data was logical, usable and accepted by the scientific community.</p> <p>2- Yes, the name was appropriate.</p> <p>3- No. It was very poorly written and it did not motivate the readers to continue.</p> <p>4-It could be divided better.</p> <p>5-Yes. It is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6- It was a weak narrative review article. To express this issue, I suggest using a review meta-analysis. Therefore, in my opinion, more articles should be used with more numerical references in order to persuade the reader from a scientific point of view.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1- It is better to have the entire article reviewed by a native.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raheleh Rafiei- Sefiddashti
Department, University & Country	Iran University of Medical Sciences, Iran