

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_108165
Title of the Manuscript:	The Prevalence of Microalbuminuria & Associated Risk Factors at The Time of Diagnosis Among Type 2 Diabetic Patients in Rajshahi City
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Abstract: The abstract is too long and not comprehensive enough</p> <p>Introduction: Does it make sense if the first paragraph of the introduction comes from only 1 reference? Likewise in paragraph 2 which only comes from 3 references, even though the content is quite diverse. In the last paragraph there is no reference and does not explain specifically the importance of the research carried out and the objectives of the research.</p> <p>Method: Methodology should be written down as methods and divided into several parts to make it clearer, for example participants, study design, examination and statistical analysis. There is no ethical clearance and informed consent in this research.</p> <p>Results: There is a lot of unnecessary repetition of data in the results narrative and tables. Table 1. In the results narrative section, there is no need to write more than what is already in the table. Likewise, regarding gender, is there a significant difference between DM patients and the control group? Table 1 also shows only age and gender. Information regarding demographics and medical information, especially disease duration and treatment regimen, should also be written as mentioned in the methods section. Table 2. It doesn't need to be there, just put it in the statement. In addition, it is common for all DM patients to have abnormal FBS or IFG, unless they have received therapy. Table 3. Also doesn't need to be there, just a statement of results. Likewise, the normal limit value for urine microalbumin levels in this study was not stated, where the normal limit is generally less than 30 mg/day, while the average value for DM is 24.64 mg/day. Other distribution tables may not need to be made separately, they should be made into one table, for example tables 4 and 5. Likewise tables 6 and 7.</p> <p>Conclusion: not specific based on research objectives and results. There are no statements of research weaknesses, acknowledgment and Competing interest.</p> <p>References: The majority of references are too old; from a total of 18 references used: 14 references from 2015 or less; and there are no references above 2020</p> <p>Title: perhaps "prevalence of microalbuminuria....., so no risk factors. Because in reality this study did not analyze the risk factors for microalbuminuria, which is reflected in the absence of OR analysis. Likewise, in the conclusion, there is no mention of these risk factors.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nur Samsu
Department, University & Country	Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia