

Journal Name	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number	Ms_AJESS_108887
Title of the Manuscript	STUDENTS' PERCEPTIONS OF LEARNING FANTASY STORIES: Case Study in the Merdeka Curriculum
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7 **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>The researcher can consider putting "text based learning" and "character education" in the keywords.</p> <p>The researcher needs to include a section on recommendations. The researcher will be able to suggest ways in which Indonesian language learning materials can be developed; and what can be done to help students understand the materials and examples of fantasy text stories. Suggest how the fantasy stories can be created to be more authentic</p>	

<p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>and relevant to students' lived experiences.</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct, and the issue is very relevant to language teaching in Indonesia.</p> <p>The majority of the references are recent.</p> <p>The researcher needs to remember that the word "data" is plural.</p> <p>Trinaldi et al (2022), insert the year. Page 1 para. 2, line 2.</p> <p>In the Introduction the researcher should explain contextual learning which is based on a constructivist theory of learning. Give a detailed explanation.</p> <p>How was the questionnaire structured? Closed or open ended items?</p> <p>In the findings the interview results should be more in-depth. When were these interviews conducted and what type of questions were asked?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is suitable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Generally a promising research paper.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Linda Superville
Department, University & Country	Trinidad & Tobago