

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_108219
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on Implementation of Application Consciousness Literacy in Junior High School Mathematics Classroom Instruction
Type of the Article	Original research

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. I think the manuscript focuses on an important topic; however, it is too wordy and repetitive, and would take advantage from examples and concrete suggestions. The best option would be to describe real experiences.</p> <p>2. The title is suitable. However, it bears too much resemblance to the titles of previously published articles.</p> <p>3. The abstract is comprehensive. However, some sections, such as "4.1 Adopting a Rich Variety of Teaching Methods to Stimulate the Students' Interest in Learning" do not explain what these methods are, how they complement each other, and how to use them in the classroom.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure are appropriate, but they need to be established by strong evidence.</p> <p>5. In my opinion, the premises are correct, but an academic writing requires claims and arguments to be substantiated with evidence.</p> <p>7. In my opinion, the references are sufficient and recent. However, the author might consider adding some articles by non-Chinese scholars.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are a few sentences that can be improved. I have highlighted some of them in yellow.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>To give a contribution to the academic and professional debate, the content of the manuscript should be enhanced by concrete examples, for instance mentioning schools that have already adopted this kind of approach, and reporting challenges and difficulties the students may have encountered.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Rossella Marisi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Conservatorio "L. D'Annunzio", Italy</b>