

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_108214
Title of the Manuscript:	A study on Perceived effectiveness of the mobile applications in Agriculture in terms of availability, acceptance, usage and satisfaction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes: it address the evolving role of m-agriculture in supporting farmers.</p> <p>No: Has redundant words such as "a study on" and doesn't offer insights about the positive results of the study probable because it was not clear on the objective and it didn't adopt any conceptual framework.</p> <p>No: Needs improvement following – (Major field and gap, purpose, design, sample, results, conclusion and recommendation for policy and extension)</p> <p>No: need improvement</p> <p>Difficult to establish in the absence of order and loyalty to scientific ways of reporting</p> <p>No major concern in the reference area.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The citation is not correctly done and mixes up referencing styles.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ndaula Sulaiman
Department, University & Country	Uganda