

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_109803 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | THE BENEFITS OF SHIATSU FOR A HOLISTIC APPROACH TO HEALTH: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW |
| Type of the Article | SYSTEMATIC REVIEW |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. Yes, The topic is relevant to the scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. The abstract is confusing. The objective of the work was placed twice in the summary, once at the beginning of the text and once at the end of the text. Which of the two is the objective of the work?</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. No, There are many flaws in the article that should be corrected.</p> <p>6. There are very old references (2004), as the authors took articles from 2004 to 2022 for review. It turns out that, doing a quick search in the main databases, we found a multitude of articles from just the last 5 years on this topic. I suggest that authors do a better search because if they list since 2004 they will have to include more than 10 articles. I also thought it was very bad for a systematic review article to only use 10 articles.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | Yes | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | The article in general is a little confusing. The introduction, for example, begins by talking about the objectives of the work. She should start by talking about SHIATSU and at the end of the session talk about the objectives of the work. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|--|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Cristianne Confessor Castilho Lopes |
| Department, University & Country | University of the Joinville Region, Brazil |