

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_109643
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYTOTHERAPY IN THE FAMILY AND COMMUNITY MEDICINE RESIDENCY PROGRAM IN PARANÁ, BRAZIL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Phytotherapy in the family and community medicine residency program in paraná, brazil, was summarized in the paper. It may be a good resource, especially because of the potential for phytotherapy to serve as a transformative instrument, facilitating the relationship between universities and communities. I have reviewed the manuscript and found the topic interesting and suitable for publication. But before publication, I suggest a minor correction; the authors should consider editing the following issues.</p> <p>1. The abstract is not well organized; the sentences are incomplete, and there is no sense of continuity.</p> <p>2. The authors should update the paper with more recent studies.</p> <p>3. All the abbreviations should be explained when used the first time in the manuscript. In addition, if you can avoid any of the abbreviations, it is preferred to write only full text.</p> <p>3. Several grammar errors/typo/spell errors throughout need a critical language check.</p> <p>4. The conclusion section should be rewritten.</p> <p>5. Authors should justify and expand the information on the advantages of this work for biomedical applications. Authors should specify the main experimental conditions used based on the evidence from the literature. They briefly describe the most important data homogeneously reported in the literature and reinforce the relevance of this method as a novel alternative.</p> <p>6. A few more specific keywords should be provided for this article.</p> <p>7. Authors should be able to discuss the formulation's limitations in different parts of the article.</p> <p>8. The style of the references should be adjusted to journal style.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sara Fekri
Department, University & Country	Zagazig University, Egypt