

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_109423
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of chemicals and plant bioregulators application during the time of fruit developmental phenophase on fruit weight, quality and shelf-life of Mango (Mangifera indica. L).
Type of the Article	RESEARCH ARTICLE

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript, in general, is well organized and structured, focusing the main items of the research. The introduction and aims are clearly defined and sustained information. Materials and methods are well documented and can easily be reproduced. Discussion is clearly supported by data of the authors and by scientific works of other researchers. But the references used are too old and should be updated.</p> <p>2. YES. Title is suitable.</p> <p>3. YES.</p> <p>4. YES. Materials and methods are well documented and can easily be reproduced.</p> <p>5. YES. This research was methodologically planned well. The results of manuscript are important.</p> <p>6. The references used in the study are very old. The author used 30 sources in the study, 14 of which were published before 2010. Old references should be replaced with up-to-date ones.</p> <p>Tables should be corrected as shapes and explanations should be added under the tables.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. YES. The English style is acceptable.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>My suggestion, after the minor corrections stated on the text, manuscript can be accepted for publication.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Derya Erbaş
Department, University & Country	Isparta University of Applied Sciences, Turkiye