

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108724
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation and path analysis study of different morphological characters for grain yield and fodder purpose in sorghum [Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench]
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? The manuscript is important for the scientific community, as it allows the identification of genotypes that can be used for forage and grain production. However, as the magazine discusses the influence of climate change on agricultural production, in the manuscript, no section deals with the effect of climate change. Therefore, I suggest addressing this topic in the introduction.</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? Yes</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? The summary is very short, only 156 characters long. I suggest putting a succinct introduction (state of the art) and a conclusion of the work.</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? No. The materials and methods are extremely limited, if anyone else wants to replicate the work they cannot do so due to lack of information</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. The references that are cited throughout the text, many are not found in the references. Arrange all references alphabetically. Citations throughout the text do not need to be in bold.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	YES	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriel Italo Novaes da Silva
Department, University & Country	Federal Rural University of Pernambuco, Brazil