

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105713
Title of the Manuscript:	A REVIEW ON PROSPECTIVE SIGNIFICANCE OF PEARL MILLET (<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i>) FOR DIABETES MELLITUS
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Certainly, a manuscript titled "A Review on Prospective Significance of Pearl Millet (Pennisetum glaucum) for Diabetes Mellitus" holds significance within the scientific community. If it offers a thorough and meticulously researched review, it has the potential to offer valuable insights to researchers and healthcare professionals dedicated to tackling the global health issue of diabetes mellitus.</p> <p>The title "A Review on Prospective Significance of Pearl Millet (Pennisetum glaucum) for Diabetes Mellitus" is a suitable and descriptive title for an article that aims to explore the potential significance of pearl millet in the context of diabetes mellitus. It clearly indicates the subject matter of the article and provides readers with a clear understanding of what to expect in the content.</p> <p>Yes, A well-written and comprehensive abstract is crucial for effectively conveying the essence of your research to readers. It serves as a concise summary of the main points and findings, making it easier for others to understand the significance of your work.</p> <p>The study is insightful, and it highlights areas where the research could potentially be strengthened to engage and convince readers more effectively. Focusing on these specific content areas and potentially rephrasing titles for clarity can enhance the overall quality and readability of the paper. Nutritional Composition of Pearl Millet, Anti-Diabetic Properties of Pearl Millet and Mechanisms of Action</p> <p>Overall, I find that the study presents valuable insights into the potential significance of pearl millet in the context of diabetes mellitus. The research is scientifically sound, and the topic is of considerable relevance to the scientific community. I recommend that the authors revise the manuscript to address these issues and enhance the overall quality and readability of the paper. A more thorough and precise review of the existing literature.</p> <p>The research presented in this manuscript holds promise and addresses an important topic. However, there are areas that require additional attention to strengthen the overall quality of the study: Anti-Diabetic Properties of Pearl Millet and Mechanisms of Action</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Niel L. Ningal
Department, University & Country	Graduate School, Central Philippine State University, Philippines