

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_107844
Title of the Manuscript:	DETERMINANTS OF COMPLIANCE TO SAFETY MEASURES IN THE USE OF PESTICIDES AMONG SMALL SCALE FARMERS IN MIIRYA SUBCOUNTY, MASINDI DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes, as it will be beneficial for other researchers want to work in the area of safety measures in the use of pesticides.</p> <p>2. Yes, but "Factors Influencing Compliance to Safety Measures in Pesticide Use Among Small-Scale Farmers in Miryia Subcounty, Masindi District" is suggested by reviewer</p> <p>3. Abstract should be in IMaRD format, (Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion). Restructure the abstract</p> <p>4. 4.1 "Brief Literature review and Identified Gaps" this section should be followed by "Introduction and Background"</p> <p>4.2 Make Headings and Sub-headings Bold</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. 6.1 References are not sufficient. A lot of work has been done by the researchers in this field, so some recent references must be added by the authors.</p> <p>6.2 The references are not properly formatted as in-text citations, there are variations.</p> <p>7. The Conclusion is not covering all of the aspects of the study, rewrite the conclusion in atleast 250 words.</p> <p>8. Use better keywords</p> <p>9. Improve formatting of all the tables and figures again.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The language needs to be improved to some extent.</p> <p>2. Few grammatical errors were found in the manuscript.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Nil.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashish Ashok Uikey
Department, University & Country	Symbiosis International Deemed University, India