

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_109097
Title of the Manuscript:	Self-Perceived Competence of Graduating Nursing Students In Pharmacology E-Learning
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Medication administration is an important skills performed by nurses and inadequate preparation and insufficient student knowledge of basic pharmacology has devastating consequences for patient care. 2. Yes; you may also say Online pharmacology course instead of pharmacology E-learning. 3. Yes 4. Abstract could be shorter. 5. Yes. 6. See the general comment below. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Some of the terms, punctuation, and grammar need modifications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>-You may want to change semestral grade to "the course grade" -Initially, don't say "This study further examined the significant relationship" change it to "This study further examined the relationship" -This statement is not clear "the majority of the sample received very satisfactory to excellent remarks." -change to et al., 2016 -change to ...Lee & Lee, 2021) -A a qualitative researcher myself, I prefer the use of "participant" and "purposive sampling." However, for quantitative studies, these terms are not used. Creswell's background in qualitative research appears in his writing and use of these terms. -write out "S.Y." -instead of "under Table..." change to "in table..." or According to table...." Or "as table....shows..." -In the Implication section, modify this statement "Nursing schools and educators may need to emphasize monitoring and improving their students' Pharmacology semestral grades to ensure they are well-prepared for their clinical practice." The educators need to design and institute methods to evaluate graduating nurses' competence and in practice. They should not rely only on the course grade or the student level of satisfaction.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Max Ghaffari
Department, University & Country	Benedictine University, U.S.A