

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10

**Original Research Article**  
**Effect of seasonal mating on birth weights of  
Mpwapwa breed cattle and its crosses  
produced at Tanzania Livestock Research  
Institute – Mpwapwa, Tanzania**

---

**ABSTRACT**

**Aims:** A retrospective study was conducted to assess how seasonal mating could influence calf birth weight in cattle among Mpwapwa breed and its four crossbreeds reared at Tanzania Livestock Research Institute (TALIRI) farm.

**Study design:** This study used farm data collected at TALIRI, Mpwapwa centre. A total of 585 calves of Mpwapwa cattle breed and its four different crosses, born between 2018 and 2023 were included in the study. Among those, 395 were born during the dry season (June to November) while 190 were born during the rainy season (December to May).

**Methodology:** To assess for significance of differences in mean birth weights between seasons and crossbreeds, a two – way ANOVA with interaction was performed, followed by univariate pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni correction while pairwise differences between crossbreeds were assessed using an independent samples t – test.

**Results:** The results showed that, mean birth weights differed between seasons ( $F=4.88$ ,  $P=.03$ ) and between calf crossbreeds ( $F=17.33$ ,  $P<.001$ ). The mean birth weight of calves born during the rainy season (24.4kg) was higher compared to that of calves born during the dry season (23.4kg) ( $P=.04$ ). Overall, Vianze calves had highest mean birth weight (26.6kg **n = ?**), followed by Kikombo calves (25.7kg,  $n = 61$ ), Matondwe (24.5kg,  $n = 35$ ) and Chibamba calves (24.4 kg,  $n = 81$ ). Mpwapwa calves had the lowest mean birth weight (22.6kg,  $n = 344$ ).

**Conclusion:** These findings demonstrate the importance of strategic feeding especially of pregnant cattle in optimizing subsequent mean calf birth weights. Feeding the pregnant cattle with maize leftovers and hay resulted to higher birth weights. Also the role of cattle crossbreeding programs in improving calf birth weights has been demonstrated.

11  
12

**Keywords:** *birth weight, Mpwapwa, crossbreed, season*

## 13 1. INTRODUCTION

14

15 Mpwapwa breed cattle is a composite dual – purpose breed which was established in the  
16 1960s by Tanzania Livestock Research Institute (TALIRI) (then Livestock Production  
17 Research Institute) in Mpwapwa district, central Tanzania. The breed was made from 32%  
18 Red Sindhi, 30% Sahiwal, 19% Tanzania Shorthorn Zebu, Boran 11% and Ayrshire 8% [1,2].  
19 Mpwapwa breed is renowned for its adaptability to the challenging environmental conditions  
20 of the region and its contribution to the livelihoods of local communities. They are well-suited  
21 to thrive in the arid and semi-arid conditions characterized by limited grazing resources and  
22 fluctuating weather patterns, hence making them a valuable asset for farmers and herders  
23 [3–5]. Over time, Mpwapwa cattle have become an integral part of the local culture and  
24 economy, serving as a source of meat, milk, and income; contributing considerably to  
25 livelihoods of local communities [6–8]. Apart from the economic values of Mpwapwa cattle,  
26 they have also been serving as a source of prestige and traditional practices [9,10].

27 In recent years, efforts have been made to preserve and improve the Mpwapwa breed  
28 through selective breeding/crossbreeding programs and conservation initiatives. Among the  
29 factors that can be used in the selection process is calf birth weight. Calf birth weight is a  
30 critical factor in the health, growth, and overall productivity of cattle. It holds immense  
31 importance in the management of livestock for several key reasons. These include health  
32 and survival of a calf, growth potential of the calf and milk production of the dam, to mention  
33 a few. Ferrell [11] revealed that a lower calf birth weight than optimum increases calf deaths  
34 at or near birth and also affects growth rate after birth, energy body reserves,  
35 thermoregulatory capability and mature body size of the animal. In addition, according to  
36 Holland and Odde [12], calves with low birth weight lack vigor tolerance against pathogens  
37 and post-calving life stress from surrounding environment. Calf birth weight data can be  
38 used to make informed decisions about which animals to retain for breeding, which can have  
39 a long-lasting impact on the quality and productivity of a cattle herd. Thus, economic returns  
40 from a cattle operation are closely tied to calf birth weights because cows born with optimum  
41 birth weight produce more milk compared to cows born with low birth weight [13]. Therefore,  
42 managing and monitoring calf birth weight is a fundamental aspect of cattle breeding and  
43 management practices. Among the factors which could influence birth weight of a calf is the  
44 season into which a calf is born with several factors coming into play. The season of birth not  
45 only influences birth weight but can also have long-term effects on calf growth and  
46 development.

47 For many years, TALIRI Mpwapwa centre has been implementing a seasonal cattle mating  
48 program, with two mating seasons per year i.e. March – May and September – November.  
49 Apparently, the two mating seasons coincide with the rainy season (December – May) and  
50 the dry season (June – November) respectively. The mating seasons lead to two calving  
51 seasons i.e. December – February and June – August respectively. Seasonal cattle  
52 breeding program is important for the research centre as among others, as it provides  
53 reasonable sample sizes of different classes and physiological statuses of cattle for research  
54 purposes such as pregnant cows, lactating cows, heifers, yearlings and pre and post-  
55 weaning calves.

56 Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the effect of the season into which a  
57 cow/heifer is mated on the eventual calf birth weight among Mpwapwa breed cattle and their  
58 crosses, reared at TALIRI Mpwapwa farm. The results of this study can inform better  
59 management practices in the centre and elsewhere with similar settings.

60

61 **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

62

63 **2.1 Study area**

64

65 Tanzania Livestock Research Institute (TALIRI) is a public research institute with eight (8)  
66 research centres in the country which are located in seven different agro-ecological zones  
67 across the country. TALIRI Mpwapwa is one of the two centres situated in the semi-arid  
68 central zone. TALIRI Mpwapwa is found in Mpwapwa district in Dodoma region in Tanzania.  
69 The research centre receives an average annual rainfall of 660 mm per year, and is situated  
70 at an altitude of 1,066 metres above sea level and lies between latitudes 6° 20' South and  
71 longitudes 36° 30' East. The rainy season usually lasts for a period of six (6) months which  
72 extends from December to May followed by six (6) months of dry season which spans from  
73 June to November.

74

75 **2.2 Study animals**

76

77 TALIRI Mpwapwa produced a total of 585 calves for five (5) years (from 2018 through to  
78 2023) through controlled breeding method of natural mating. The calves were produced  
79 seasonally twice in a year in a period of dry season (between June and August) and in a  
80 rainy season (between December and February). This was a result of the seasonal mating  
81 twice in a year period i.e. between March and May and also between September and  
82 November. Cattle mated between March and May calved in a period between December  
83 and February and those mated between September and November calved in a period  
84 between June and August. About 67.5% (n=395) of the total calves were born in the dry  
85 season and the rest 32.5% (n=190) were born in the rainy season.

86

87 **2.3 Description of the calves**

88

89 The majority of the 585 of the produced calves, were Mpwapwa breed (n = 344). Others  
90 were crosses of Mpwapwa with Danish red (also known as “Red Danish” or “Red Dane”), the  
91 major dairy cattle of Northern Europe, originating from Denmark. For the purpose of easy  
92 identification and reference, these crossbreeds were named as These were named as  
93 Chibamba (n = 81) (with a blood composition of 50% Mpwapwa 50% Danish red); Vianze  
94 cattle (n = 64) (75% Danish red and 25% Mpwapwa cattle) and Matondwe (n = 35) (75%  
95 Mpwapwa cattle and 25% Danish red). The last crossbreed was Kikombo cattle (n = 61)  
96 which was made up of 50% Sahiwal, 25% Mpwapwa and 25% Boran.

97

98 **2.4 Feeding of the pregnant cattle before calving**

99

100 Before calving, groups of pregnant cattle were daily grazed randomly in TALIRI Mpwapwa  
101 main farm for about 6 – 7 hours on the natural pastures species namely; *Urochloa spp.*,  
102 *Panicum maximum*, *Hyperrhenia rufa*, *Bothrochloa spp.*, *Digitaria mombasana*, *Cynodon*  
103 *dactylon*, and *Cenchrus ciliaris*. They were entirely grazed on the mentioned pastures  
104 species during dry and rainy seasons throughout the year. However, in the dry season  
105 animals were grazed and fed with crop residuals, which are more readily available during the  
106 dry season (after maize corn harvest). At the peak of the dry spell, groups were  
107 supplemented with baled hay composed of a mixture of *Cenchrus ciliaris*, *Heteropogon* and  
108 *Cyanodon* species.

109

110 **2.5 Data collection**

111

112 Several hours after calves were born, licked, suckled and ear tagged, live body weights (kg)  
113 were measured using a 300kg capacity mechanical platform scale. During measurement, a

114 newborn calf was placed on the platform of the analog weighing scale and the observed  
115 reading value was recorded.

116

## 117 2.6 Data analysis

118

119 Data were entered into Microsoft Excel 2016 spreadsheets, for processing and cleaning.  
120 Data analysis was carried out using STATA 14<sup>®</sup> (Stata Corp. College, Texas, USA).  
121 Descriptive statistics were used to establish frequencies and proportions means (with  
122 standard deviations), maximum and minimum values. Two-way analysis of variance  
123 (ANOVA) was used to determine the effects on the mean calves' birth weights of the mating  
124 season and of the calf breed composition. Following a significant two-way ANOVA,  
125 univariate pairwise comparisons were performed using one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni  
126 correction, to assess for differences in mean birth weights between breeds. Moreover, a  
127 comparison of mean birth weights between calves born during the rainy and dry seasons  
128 was performed using an independent samples t – test.

129

## 130 3. RESULTS

131

132 Mean birth weights (with standard deviation, minimum and maximum values) among  
133 different calves breeds, between seasons and between sexes are presented in Table 1.  
134 Overall, Vianze calves had the highest mean birth weight (26.6 kg) among the crossbreeds,  
135 while Mpwapwa breed calves had the lowest (22.6 kg). Calves born during the rainy season  
136 had higher birth weights than those born during the dry season, and male calves were born  
137 heavier than female calves.

138

139 **Table 1. Mean calf birth weights of Mpwapwa breed and its crosses born into the dry**  
140 **and rainy seasons from 2018 through to 2023, at a cattle farm of Tanzania Livestock**  
141 **Research Institute (TALIRI), Mpwapwa centre – Tanzania**

142

		<b>N</b>	<b>Mean (kg)</b>	<b>Std. dev</b>	<b>Min.</b>	<b>Max.</b>
Crossbreed	Chibamba	81	24.4	4.25	14	35.8
	Kikombo	61	25.7	3.31	18.7	33
	Matondwe	35	24.5	4.01	15.5	36
	Mpwapwa	344	22.6	3.94	13.5	34
	Vianze	64	26.6	5.06	18	42
Season	Dry season	395	23.4	4.17	13.5	39.2
	Rainy season	190	24.4	4.52	15.5	42
Sex	Male	304	24.5	4.44	14	42
	Female	281	22.9	4.02	13.5	39.2

*N* = sample size, *Std.dev* = Standard deviation, *Min.* = Minimum weight, *Max.* = Maximum weight

143 Results showed that mean birth weights differed between seasons ( $F=4.88$ ,  $P=.03$ ). In  
144 addition, breeds differed in birth weights ( $F=17.33$ ,  $P<.001$ ), but there was no effect of  
145 interaction between season and breed ( $F=1.02$ ,  $P=.39$ ). Univariate comparison showed that  
146 the mean birth weight of calves born in dry season was lower compared to that of calves  
147 born in the rainy season ( $P=.04$ ) (Table 2).  
148

**Table 2. Comparison of mean birth weights of calves born during the dry and rainy seasons from 2018 to 2023, at a cattle farm of Tanzania Livestock Research Institute (TALIRI), Mpwapwa centre – Tanzania**

	Mean (SD)	t	df
Birth weight of calves born during the dry season	23.4		
		-2.68*	348
Birth weight of calves born during the rainy season	24.4		

*SD* = Standard Deviation; *t* = *t* value, *df* = degrees of freedom

149 Pairwise comparisons between breeds showed that Chibamba calves had higher birth  
150 weights compared to Mpwapwa calves ( $P=.002$ ), Vianze calves were heavier than  
151 Chibamba calves ( $P=.019$ ); Kikombo calves were heavier than Mpwapwa calves ( $P<.001$ )  
152 and Vianze calves were heavier than Mpwapwa calves ( $P<.001$ ) (Table 3).

**Table 3. Pairwise comparisons of mean calf birth weights of Mpwapwa breed and its crosses born in dry and rainy seasons from 2018 to 2023, at a cattle farm of Tanzania Livestock Research Institute (TALIRI), Mpwapwa centre – Tanzania**

Row mean – Column mean	Chibamba	Kikombo	Matondwe	Mpwapwa
Kikombo	1.27*			
Matondwe	0.0612	-1.21		
Mpwapwa	-1.88*	-3.15*	-1.94*	
Vianze	2.12*	0.846	2.05	3.99*

\*Significant difference

153

154

#### 4. DISCUSSION

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

The results of this study have demonstrated a significant effect of seasonal mating on calf birth weights, with calf crops produced during the rainy season (December and February) having higher birth weights. This can be attributed to the differences in nutritional statuses of their mothers across the seasons. The results appear to suggest that cows/heifers mated during the rainy season (March – May mating season) were in a better plane of nutrition during their pregnancy. These animals spent most of their pregnancy time feeding on the leftovers of maize stover as well as hay which was supplemented in the dry season before calving. This presumably led to higher mean calf birth weight for the calves that were born in a subsequent rainy season. Feeding cattle maize stover even without supplementation has

165 been reported to increase daily cow live weight gain by 550g [14] since it contains high  
166 energy levels [15]. This has a positive implication on the mean calf birth weight because  
167 more energy supply in pregnant cattle is necessary in fulfilling nutritional body requirements  
168 and is also important in maternal tissue formation, fetal development, metabolism,  
169 maintenance and growth performance [16–18]. This was also supported by Malau-Aduli et  
170 al. [19] who observed a significant increase in kids' birth weight after pregnant does were  
171 supplemented with crop residues.

172 Maize crop residues account for almost 25% of the total feed energy suitable for ruminant  
173 livestock [20]. Its energy availability to ruminants is limited by a chemical association  
174 between lignin and structural cell wall carbohydrates for microbial fermentation [21].  
175 Generally, it has 2.2 – 12.6% ash content, a high dry matter calorific value of 16.4 – 17.4 MJ  
176 kg<sup>-1</sup> [15,22], high NDF (over 70%) and low in nitrogen (< 3% CP) than the minimum  
177 requirement of 7% CP which is necessary for optimum microbial activity in the rumen  
178 [23,24]. However, its chemical composition was reported to vary depending on the different  
179 morphological fractions of the corn plant, age of the growth and season variability  
180 [15,22,25,26]. For example Li et al [25] reported corn stover leaf blade had a CP content of  
181 9.95% and the lowest NDF and ADF contents (62.1% and 31.1%, respectively) while stem  
182 rind had the lowest CP content of 1.9% and highest ADF and ADL contents (47.7% and  
183 8.3% respectively) whereas ear husk had higher NDF content (82.7%) and lower ADL  
184 (3.6%) compared to other corn stover fractions.

185 On the other hand, calves that were born in the dry season between June and August had  
186 lower mean birth weights because before calving, groups of pregnant cattle were grazed  
187 entirely on the natural pastures in the period between December and May. This is a rainfall  
188 period in which re-growth occurs to most of the natural vegetation including pasture species  
189 which is has also become lushly with low dry matter that cannot sustain feeding  
190 requirements for the pregnant cattle. Moreover regeneration of pastures species in the semi-  
191 arid area is low due to low availability of phosphorous and nitrogen in the soil [27]. This  
192 makes pasture in semi-arid areas to have low productivity and nutritive value and tends to  
193 grow rapidly and quickly attain the highest quality which means livestock feeding remains  
194 high for a short period of wet season and low in the long dry season [28,29]. Furthermore,  
195 during the early stage of wet season between December and January termites become more  
196 active in the breakdown of the remaining organic matter and dried feed resources on the  
197 fields [30]. Additionally, fungi effects on the natural ecosystem and pastures were also  
198 reported also to reduce pasture biomass yield and quality [31] and therefore create further  
199 shortage of pastures for pregnant cattle. Inadequate availability of quality feed resources in  
200 the grazing areas between December and January is a major reason for low mean calf birth  
201 weight during the June to August calving season [32–34]. Moreover, it contributes to  
202 livestock illness, mortality cases, low production output and animal productivity [32,35].

203 Results of this study have also shown that calf crossbreeds, differed in birth weights, with  
204 higher birth weights in Mpwapwa crosses compared to Mpwapwa calves. *Bos taurus* breeds  
205 are mostly found in temperate countries and have high production potential but are poorly  
206 adapted to tropical harsh environment while *Bos indicus* breeds are widely found in tropics  
207 have low growth rates and production potential but are highly adapted to harsh environments  
208 [5]. Crossbreeding of indigenous cattle with exotic breeds has been used as a mojar strategy  
209 to improve milk production, growth rates and other production performances [36,37].  
210 Therefore, crossbreeding of cattle by using superior breeding bulls with high genetic levels of  
211 *Bos taurus* and production potential has led to higher mean calf birth weight. This is also  
212 supported by Touchberry and Bereskin [38] in the Illinois crossing breeding project, when  
213 they observed an increase in calf birth weight by 4.3% after evaluation of breeding effect  
214 between Holstein and Guernsey cattle. Moreover, this similar observation was reported by  
215 Mendonca et al. [39] when they evaluated additive and heterosis effects on growth curves,  
216 total milk yield, calf weaning weight, predicted energy intake and cow efficiency of purebred  
217 and crossbred beef cows raised in the Southern Brazil. Also, Mendonca et al. [40] reported

218 similar findings when they examined breed additive and heterosis effects on carcass traits  
219 and characteristics of the meat from beef cows.

220

## 221 **5. CONCLUSION**

222

223 This study has shown influence of mating season on the calf birth weights, with calves born  
224 during the rainy season having higher birth weights, due to a better plane of nutrition of their  
225 mothers. Due to climatic changes and shortage of enough and quality pastures and other  
226 feed resources for ruminants in the semi arid environment of Tanzania, highly adapted and  
227 quality pastures for ruminants should be established, harvested, conserved and utilized in  
228 parallel with maize stover and other crop residues for sustainable livestock feeding practices.  
229 This is very important for sustainable livestock production and productivity in the semi arid  
230 areas especially in the period of rainy season between December and May for the pregnant  
231 cattle before calving season of June – August to opt for high mean calves' birth weight.

232

233

## 234 **CONSENT**

235

236 Not applicable

237

## 238 **ETHICAL APPROVAL**

239

240 Not applicable

241

## 242 **REFERENCES**

243

244 1. Kiwuwa GH, Kyomo ML. Milk Composition and Yield Characteristics of Mpwapwa  
245 Cattle. East African Agricultural and Forestry Journal. 1971;36: 290–295.  
246 doi:10.1080/00128325.1971.11662473

247

248 2. Syrstad O. Mpwapwa cattle: An Indo-Euro-African synthesis. Trop Anim Health  
249 Prod. 1990;22: 17–22. doi:10.1007/BF02243492

250

251 3. Katyega. Mpwapwa | Animal Genetics Training Resources. In: Animal Genetics  
252 Training Resource (AGTR) [Internet]. 1987 [cited 12 Oct 2023]. Available:  
253 <http://agtr.ilri.cgiar.org/mpwapwa>

254

255 4. Mabruck MM. Comparative Assessment of Productive and Reproductive  
256 Performances of Mpwapwa Breed Cattle and Its Crosses. 2022. Available:  
257 <http://suaire.suanet.ac.tz/bitstream/handle/123456789/5035/MERCY%20MAXWELL%20MABRUCK.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>

258

259 5. Manzi M, Rydhmer L, Ntawubizi M, Karege C, Strandberg E. Growth traits of  
260 crossbreds of Ankole with Brown Swiss, Holstein Friesian, Jersey, and Sahiwal cattle in  
261 Rwanda. Trop Anim Health Prod. 2018;50: 825–830. doi:10.1007/s11250-017-1501-7

262

263 6. FAO. Livestock keepers: guardians of biodiversity. Rome: Food and Agriculture  
264 Organization of the United Nations; 2009. Available: <https://www.fao.org/3/i1034e/i1034e.pdf>

265

266 7. Galina CS, Geffroy M. Dual-Purpose Cattle Raised in Tropical Conditions: What Are  
267 Their Shortcomings in Sound Productive and Reproductive Function? Animals. 2023;13:  
268 2224. doi:10.3390/ani13132224

269

270

- 271 8. D.M. Komwihangilo, J.I. Mkonyi, D.F. Masao, E. Moto, A.M.O Mahiza, V. Mzava.  
272 Performance and challenges in the management of improved cattle in agro-pastoral systems  
273 of central tanzania. 1 May 2009 [cited 12 Oct 2023]. Available:  
274 <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd21/5/komw21075.htm>  
275
- 276 9. Chasama LG, Tungu BG. Socio-economic Values and Performance of Zebu Cattle  
277 Indigenous in Ukerewe and Bunda Districts of Tanzania. *Huria: Journal of the Open*  
278 *University of Tanzania*. 2017;24: 111–125. doi:10.4314/huria.v24i3  
279
- 280 10. Msanga YN, Mwakilembe PL, Sendalo D. The indigenous cattle of the Southern  
281 Highlands of Tanzania: distinct phenotypic features, performance and uses. 1 Jul 2012 [cited  
282 12 Oct 2023]. Available: <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd24/7/msan24110.htm>  
283
- 284 11. Ferrell C. Factors Influencing Fetal Growth and Birth Weight in Cattle. Roman L  
285 Hruska US Meat Animal Research Center. 1993. Available:  
286 <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/hruskareports/132>  
287
- 288 12. Holland MD, Odde KG. Factors affecting calf birth weight: A review. *Theriogenology*.  
289 1992;38: 769–798. doi:10.1016/0093-691X(92)90155-K  
290
- 291 13. Rahbar R, Abdollahpour R, Sefidmazgi AS. Effect of Calf Birth Weight on Milk  
292 Production of Holstein Dairy Cattle in Desert Climate. *JABB*. 2016;4: 65–70.  
293 doi:10.14269/2318-1265/jabb.v4n3p65-70  
294
- 295 14. Tuturoong RAV, Malalantang SS, Moningkey SAE. Assessment of the nutritive value  
296 of corn stover and king grass in complete feed on Ongole steer calves productivity. *Vet*  
297 *World*. 2020;13: 801–806. doi:10.14202/vetworld.2020.801-806  
298
- 299 15. Lizotte P-L, Savoie P, De Champlain A. Ash Content and Calorific Energy of Corn  
300 Stover Components in Eastern Canada. *Energies*. 2015;8: 4827–4838.  
301 doi:10.3390/en8064827  
302
- 303 16. Chen H, Wang C, Huasai S, Chen A. Effect of prepartum dietary energy density on  
304 beef cow energy metabolites, and birth weight and antioxidative capabilities of neonatal  
305 calves. *Sci Rep*. 2022;12: 4828. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-08809-6  
306
- 307 17. Institute of Medicine (IOM). Nutrition During Pregnancy: Part I, Weight Gain : Part II,  
308 Nutrient Supplements : Summary. National Academy Press; 1990. Available:  
309 [https://books.google.co.tz/books/about/Nutrition\\_During\\_Pregnancy.html?id=tEMrAAAAAYAA](https://books.google.co.tz/books/about/Nutrition_During_Pregnancy.html?id=tEMrAAAAAYAAJ&redir_esc=y)  
310 [J&redir\\_esc=y](https://books.google.co.tz/books/about/Nutrition_During_Pregnancy.html?id=tEMrAAAAAYAAJ&redir_esc=y)  
311
- 312 18. Sguizzato ALL, Marcondes MI, Dijkstra J, Valadares Filho S de C, Campos MM,  
313 Machado FS, et al. Energy requirements for pregnant dairy cows. *PLoS One*. 2020;15:  
314 e0235619. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0235619  
315
- 316 19. Malau-Aduli B, Edivie L, Lakpini C, Aduli Enoch Othniel Malau-Aduli. Crop-residue  
317 supplementation of pregnant does influences birth weight and weight gain of kids, daily milk  
318 yield but not the progesterone profile of Red Sokoto goats. *Reproduction Nutrition*  
319 *Development*. 2004;44: 111–121. doi:10.1051/rnd:2004022  
320
- 321 20. Adebowale, E.A.,. Maize residues as ruminant feed resources in Nigeria. 1988 [cited  
322 9 Oct 2023]. Available: <https://www.fao.org/3/u8750t/u8750T0d.htm>  
323

- 324 21. Wattanaklang B, Abrar A, Cherdthong A. Nutritional Value of Fermented Maize  
325 Stover as Feed for Ruminant. *JPS*. 2016;5. doi:10.33230/JPS.5.1.2016.3919  
326
- 327 22. Helsel ZR, Wedin WF. Direct combustion energy from crops and crop residues  
328 produced in Iowa. *Energy in Agriculture*. 1981;1: 317–329. doi:10.1016/0167-  
329 5826(81)90028-0  
330
- 331 23. Juma HK, Abdulrazak SA, Muinga RW, Ambula MK. Effects of Supplementing Maize  
332 Stover With *Clitoria*, *Gliricidia* and *Mucuna* on Performance of Lactating Jersey Cows in  
333 Coastal Lowland Kenya. 2006. Available: <https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/939/93960101.pdf>  
334
- 335 24. Woyengo TA, Gachuri CK, Wahome RG, Mbugua PN. Effect of protein  
336 supplementation and urea treatment on utilization of maize stover by Red Maasai sheep.  
337 *South African Journal of Animal Science*. 2004;34: 23–30. doi:10.4314/sajas.v34i1.3806  
338
- 339 25. Li HY, Xu L, Liu WJ, Fang MQ, Wang N. Assessment of the nutritive value of whole  
340 corn stover and its morphological fractions. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci*. 2014;27: 194–200.  
341 doi:10.5713/ajas.2013.13446  
342
- 343 26. Tolera A, Sundstøl F. Morphological fractions of maize stover harvested at different  
344 stages of grain maturity and nutritive value of different fractions of the stover. *Animal Feed  
345 Science and Technology*. 1999;81: 1–16. doi:10.1016/S0377-8401(99)00072-3  
346
- 347 27. Menezes KMS, Silva DKA, Queiroz MAA, Félix WP, Yano-Melo AM. Arbuscular  
348 mycorrhizal fungal communities in buffelgrass pasture under intercropping and shading  
349 systems in Brazilian semiarid conditions. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*. 2016;230:  
350 55–67. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2016.05.024  
351
- 352 28. Abusuwar A, Ahmed E. Seasonal variability in nutritive value of ruminant diets under  
353 open grazing system in the semi-arid rangeland of Sudan (South Darfur State). *ABJNA*.  
354 2010;1: 243–249. doi:10.5251/abjna.2010.1.3.243.249  
355
- 356 29. Njau FBC, Lwelamira J, Hyandye C. Ruminant livestock production and quality of  
357 pastures in the communal grazing land of semi arid central Tanzania. 2013. Available:  
358 <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd25/8/Njau25146.htm>  
359
- 360 30. Figueirêdo RECRD, Vasconcellos A, Policarpo IS, Alves RRN. Edible and medicinal  
361 termites: a global overview. *J Ethnobiology Ethnomedicine*. 2015;11: 29.  
362 doi:10.1186/s13002-015-0016-4  
363
- 364 31. Mlay JA, Kuwi SO, Maleko D, Mtengetic EJ. Incidences of fungal leaf spot disease in  
365 buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*) in some selected pasture farms in Tanzania. *MSJ*. 2022;4: 1–  
366 7. doi:10.31893/multiscience.2022015  
367
- 368 32. Dauda A, Okon B, Joseph Henry A, Dauda Nggada J. Reproductive Performance  
369 and Management of Three Breeds of Cattle under Major Constraints in Extensive  
370 Management. *Farm Anim Health Nutr*. 2023;2: 30–34. doi:10.58803/fahn.v2i2.13  
371
- 372 33. Hassan HM, Dubad AB, Muse MM, Ali AM, Ali BS. Assessment of Reproductive  
373 Efficiency and Herd Dynamics of Local Cattle Breeds in Benadir Region, Somalia. *Adv Anim  
374 Vet Sci*. 2020;8. doi:10.17582/journal.aavs/2020/8.10.1100.1108  
375

- 376 34. Tolera A, Assefa G, Geleti D, Gizachew L, Mengistu A. Feed resources availability  
377 and quality. *Livestock Feed Resources in Ethiopia: Challenges, Opportunities and the Need*  
378 *for Transformation*. 2012; 37–46.  
379
- 380 35. White TCR. The importance of a relative shortage of food in animal ecology.  
381 *Oecologia*. 1978;33: 71–86. doi:10.1007/BF00376997  
382
- 383 36. Bwire JMN, Wiktorsson H. Effect of Supplementary Feeding Strategies on the  
384 Performance of Stall Fed Dual-purpose Dairy Cows Fed Grass Hay-based Diets. *Asian*  
385 *Australas J Anim Sci*. 2003;16: 359–367. doi:10.5713/ajas.2003.359  
386
- 387 37. Chawala AR, Banos G, Komwihangilo DM, Peters A, Chagunda MGG. Phenotypic  
388 and genetic parameters for selected production and reproduction traits of Mpwapwa cattle in  
389 low-input production systems. *SA J An Sci*. 2017;47: 307. doi:10.4314/sajas.v47i3.7  
390
- 391 38. Touchberry RW, Bereskin B. Crossbreeding Dairy Cattle. I. Some Effects of  
392 Crossbreeding on the Birth Weight and Gestation Period of Dairy Cattle. *Journal of Dairy*  
393 *Science*. 1966;49: 287–300. doi:10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(66)87851-7  
394
- 395 39. Mendonça FS, MacNeil MD, Leal WS, Azambuja RCC, Rodrigues PF, Cardoso FF.  
396 Crossbreeding effects on growth and efficiency in beef cow–calf systems: evaluation of  
397 Angus, Caracu, Hereford and Nelore breed direct, maternal and heterosis effects.  
398 *Translational Animal Science*. 2019;3: 1286–1295. doi:10.1093/tas/txz096  
399
- 400 40. Mendonça FS, MacNeil MD, Nalerio E, Cardoso LL, Giongo C, Cardoso FF. Breed  
401 direct, maternal and heterosis effects due to Angus, Caracu, Hereford and Nelore on  
402 carcass and meat quality traits of cull cows. *Livestock Science*. 2021;243: 104374.  
403 doi:10.1016/j.livsci.2020.104374  
404