

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_108932
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination and Risk Factors of Eco-Friendly Sustainable Beef Fattening in the Northern Part of Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>(1). Yes, it is. Since it is involved with the risk factor of consumables, and thus has a direct relationship with human life, it is of scientific importance.</p> <p>(2).No. What is it determining? Let the authors state clearly what they want to determine, and then the risk factor of fattened beef. So many things can be determined from the work, the quantity/ residue of fattener in the beef, the effect of level of education of the farmers, ect.</p> <p>(3).No. The abstract has been jumbled / mixed up and doesn't convey the intension(s) of the writer to the reader.</p> <p>(4) The subsections are okay except that, they are not complete. See the article, i have completed them in the article.</p> <p>(5) The thought pattern is correct, but the presentation is not plausible and illogical.</p> <p>(6) The references are few, and not properly cited. It looks like APA, but the writing style is not followed.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	No	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The idea is good but the presentation and formatting are poor. The article needs to be re-written from abstract to the recommendation by a Native English speaker.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muluh Emmanuel Khan
Department, University & Country	Federal University, Nigeria