

## Review Form1.7

JournalName:	AsianJournalofPediatricResearch
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJPR_108875
TitleoftheManuscript:	ComparisonOf SerumIgELevelBetweenInitialAttackAndRelapseCasesOfIdiopathicNephroticSyndromeInChildren
TypeoftheArticle	

### PART1:ReviewComments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Authors propose study aimed to determine the difference of Serum IgE level between initial attack and relapse cases of idiopathic Nephrotic Syndrome in children. The aim of paper appears clear and good treated in the abstract as good resumed in the title of manuscript. References are appropriate and relevant. Introduction is complete, treating just known matters in literature. Good explication of matter with functional chapters organization.</p> <p>Data and results are represented in an appropriate way. Tables and figures are relevant and clearly.</p> <p>Discussion of treated matter is just performed in coherent way, without being over interpreted. Good is the readability and links with other up-dated review on the same matter treated.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Concetto Sessa
Department, University & Country	"Maggiore" Hospital, Italy