

**Review Form 1.7**

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJFAR_108831  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Some Physiological Parameters of African Catfish, <i>Clarias gariepinus</i> (BURCHELLS, 1822) Juveniles fed Composite Insect Meal and Phyto-additives in Cage Culture System |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|  | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/><b>Yes, the manuscript is important.</b></p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)<br/><b>Yes, the title fits the manuscript.</b></p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?<br/><b>Yes, significantly comprehensive.</b></p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?<br/><b>Yes, all subsections and the structure of the manuscript are adequate.</b></p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?<br/><b>Yes, it is scientifically correct.</b></p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br/><b>Yes, for the most part.</b></p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> <p><b>I believe that manuscripts will be a very important source of research in the future for academic communication.</b></p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>  | <p><b>The quality of the language is good and suitable for academic communications.</b></p>  |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>  | <p><b>Overall, the article is well written and presents good ideas on the topic covered.</b><br/>Find the attachmnet</p>   |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Givanildo De Gois</b>                  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Federal University of Acre, Brazil</b> |