

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_109581
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Cognitive Degree of Pre-service Junior High School Mathematics Teachers for Data Concept Literacy in China
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This paper is important for the scientific community, especially for Pedagogic and Learning. 2. The article title given is by the article's contents. However, it would be nice not to include the word "research" in the title. 3. The abstract has fulfilled the important points. However, kindly include the type of research and data analysis used. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. Scientifically, the manuscript is correct. 6. References are already using the latest edition. However, please add recent references (at least the last 5 years) 7. Kindly attention to the journal template. 8. Kindly explain whether the findings obtained are supported by previous research or expert opinion that strengthens or weakens the research in discussion. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	In general the article is good, coherent, and interesting	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Indah Suciati
Department, University & Country	Alkhairaat University, Indonesia