

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_106498
Title of the Manuscript:	Normal and variant anatomy of CoronaryArteries:64-Slice MultiDetector Computed Tomography (MDCT) Coronary Angiographic Depiction in North Indian population
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>No. Discussion needs to be restructured. There is only points from the current study stated. It would be better if the literature review is carried out and data from similarly structured studies evaluated and compared.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Abbreviations used in the manuscript should be elaborated at the time of their first appearance in the manuscript. For example, AAS (Anterior aortic sinus) is labelled as the legend in table 2. But the abbreviation itself appears much earlier in the manuscript.</p> <p>2. Table 1 Data has percentages in parentheses but the % symbol is again usewd in the table which is unnecessary</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nagaraj Maradi
Department, University & Country	SSIMS & RC, India