

## Effect on yield, attribute character and economics of various treatment in Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) crop

**Comment [A1]:** Title needs to be revised grammatically and informatively.

### Abstract

A field experiment was carried out at Agronomy Research Farm of Narendra Deva University of Agriculture & Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj), Faizabad (U.P.) during Rabi season of 2014-15 to study the response of late sown wheat varieties to doses of nitrogen application. Eighteen treatment consisted of six doses of nitrogen (0, 40, 80, 120, 160 and 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and three varieties of wheat (PBW-373, HD-2327, and NW-1014). The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design R.B.D. (factorial) with three replications on silt loam having low organic carbon (0.37%), nitrogen (194.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in phosphorus (15.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and potassium (250.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). All the growth and yield parameters increased significantly with increasing nitrogen doses up to 200 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. The maximum net return (Rs. 40712ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained at 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> with NW-1014 and B:C ratio 1.19 followed by same variety at 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Thus it may be concluded that application of 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> proved appropriate levels of nitrogen for exploration of the yield potential of the late sown wheat varieties. Among the varieties NW-1014 found most suitable for cultivation under late sown condition for Northern East Plain zone.

**Comment [A2]:** Plural form

**Comment [A3]:** Found to be the most .....

**Key words:** B:C ratio, net return, PBW-373, NW-1014 and organic carbon.

### Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) belongs to family Poaceae, is a staple food of the world. India is one of the principle wheat producing and consuming country in the world. Its importance in Indian agriculture is second after rice. About 55% of the world population depends on wheat for intake of about 20% of food calories. Globally, according to Anonymous (1999) reported that wheat is grown in the world with an area of 220.88 million hectares, production of 725.47 million tonnes with a productivity of 3.28 tonnes per hectare. In India it is grown in an area of 30.47 million hectares, production of 95.85 million tonnes with a productivity of 3.15 tonnes per hectare.

From past few years, the area of late sown wheat has increased in U.P. due to so many obvious reasons. In U.P., rice-wheat cropping system is widely practiced by the most of the farmers. Late transplanting of rice due to delayed monsoon, use of long duration varieties and

heavy rain during later phase of crop growth are the main reasons for delayed sowing of wheat. Besides the proceeding crop like sugarcane, potato and toria also vacant the fields quite late.

### Materials and method

The field experiment was conducted at Agronomy Research Farm, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj) Faizabad (U.P.), during *Rabi* season of 2014-2015. This farm is located at a distance of 42 Km. from Faizabad district headquarters at Faizabad – Raibareilly road. The experimental site falls under sub-tropical zone in Indogangatic plains and lies between 26<sup>0</sup>47<sup>1</sup> North latitude, 82<sup>0</sup>12<sup>1</sup> East longitude, at an attitude of about

Comment [A4]: This sentence is redundant.

113.0 meter from mean sea level and is subjected to extremes of weather conditions. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with four varieties (PBW-373, HD-2327 and NW-1014) and six nitrogen levels (0, 40, 80, 120, 160 and 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) with three replications.

Comment [A5]: 4 or 3 ?

Cost of cultivation for different treatments were worked out by considering all the expense incurred in the cultivation of experimental crop and added with variable cost due to treatments. Gross return was worked out by multiplying the grain and straw yield separately under various treatments to their existing market price. The money value of both grain and straw yield was added together in order to achieve gross return (Rs ha<sup>-1</sup>). Net return was calculated by deducting the cost of cultivation from the gross return of the individual treatment.

### Result and Discussion

Yield was the resultant of co-ordinated interplay of yield attributes. Vigorously growing plants are able to absorb larger quantity of mineral nutrients through well-developed root system. The highest grain and straw yield was credited to variety NW-1014 followed by variety HD-2327. Minimum grain and straw yield recorded with variety PBW-373 might be due to less number of spike bearing tillers, small shoots head and less number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup> and poor grain development. The grain yield significantly increased only up to 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. This might be due to more spike length, number of grains spike<sup>-1</sup>, grain weight spike<sup>-1</sup> and 1000 grain weight. Similar findings were reported by Nakhtore and Kewat (1989) as well as Jain and Jain (1993). Straw yield influenced significantly only up to 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. This may be probably due to higher shoots and increased rate of dry matter accumulation. Roy *et al.* (1991) also reported similar results. Harvest index of wheat was not affected significantly due to nitrogen management practices. Similar results were given by Singh (1998).

The maximum cost of cultivation (Rs 34651 ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded at 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> with all the varieties, due to additional cost of nitrogenous fertilizers and the same cost for each variety. The highest gross return (Rs.74755 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> with the variety NW-1014 due to higher grain yield and straw yield. The lowest gross return (Rs 53944 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained with variety PBW-373 under 0 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Highest net return (Rs 40712 ha<sup>-1</sup>) obtained under the treatment combination of 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> with the variety NW-1014 and lowest net return (Rs 22331 ha<sup>-1</sup>) recorded with variety PBW-

373 under 0 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was due to lowest gross return in proportion to cost of cultivation under this combination. Maximum benefit cost ratio (1.19) obtained from treatment combination of 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> with the variety NW-1014. The minimum benefit cost ratio (0.70) obtained with variety PBW-373 under 0 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. It was due to lowest net return under this treatment combination.

Maximum cost of cultivation (Rs 34651.1ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded at 200 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> combined with all the varieties. The maximum gross return (Rs 74755 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded with variety NW-1014 in combination of 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. The maximum net return (Rs 40712 ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained under treatment combination of variety NW-1014 with 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> and benefit cost ratio (1.19) was obtained under treatment combination of same variety NW-1014 with 160 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

**Table 1: Effect of different treatments on grain yield, straw yield and harvest index.**

Treatments	Grain yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw yield (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Harvest index (%)
(A) Varieties			
<b>PBW-373</b>	29.64	40.88	41.99
<b>HD-2327</b>	33.11	44.92	42.40
<b>NW-1014</b>	34.88	46.90	42.59
<b>SEm±</b>	0.46	0.60	0.49
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	1.32	1.74	1.43
(B) Nitrogen levels(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			
<b>0</b>	27.68	39.86	40.96
<b>40</b>	30.17	42.03	41.76
<b>80</b>	32.67	44.13	42.54
<b>120</b>	34.62	45.26	43.31
<b>160</b>	36.08	47.27	43.52
<b>200</b>	36.10	47.30	42.87
<b>SEm±</b>	0.65	0.85	-
<b>CD (P=0.05)</b>	1.87	2.46	-

**Table 2: Economics of various treatment combinations**

Treatment combinations	Cost of cultivation (Rs.ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross return (Rs.ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Net return (Rs.ha <sup>-1</sup> )	B:C (Ratio)
<b>PBW-373, 0kg</b>	31613	53944	22331	0.70
<b>PBW-373, 40kg</b>	32219	58404	26185	0.81
<b>PBW-373, 80kg</b>	32828	62848	30020	0.91

<b>PBW-373, 120kg</b>	33435	66261	32826	0.98
<b>PBW-373, 160kg</b>	34043	68929	34886	1.02
<b>PBW-373,200kg</b>	34651	65826	31175	0.89
<b>HD-2327, 0kg</b>	31613	55979	24366	0.77
<b>HD-2327, 40kg</b>	32219	60505	28286	0.87
<b>HD-2327, 80kg</b>	32828	65025	32197	0.98
<b>HD-2327, 120kg</b>	33435	68610	35174	1.05
<b>HD-2327, 160kg</b>	34043	71337	37294	1.09
<b>HD-2327, 200kg</b>	34651	67310	32659	0.94
<b>NW-1014, 0kg</b>	31613	58522	26909	0.85
<b>NW-1014, 40kg</b>	32219	63257	31038	0.96
<b>NW-1014, 80kg</b>	32828	68040	35212	1.07
<b>NW-1014, 120kg</b>	33435	71834	38399	1.14
<b>NW-1014, 160kg</b>	34044	74755	40712	1.19
<b>NW-1014, 200kg</b>	34651	72355	37704	1.08

## Conclusion

On the basis of The grain and straw yield  $q\ ha^{-1}$  were significantly affected by various nitrogen levels. Nitrogen at 160 kg N  $ha^{-1}$  being at par with 120 and 200 kg N  $ha^{-1}$  recorded significantly more grain and straw yield as compared to rest of the nitrogen levels. Harvest index was not influenced significantly with nitrogen levels. It should be economics, it may be concluded that a dose of 160 kg followed by 120 kg N  $ha^{-1}$  along with the variety NW-1014 was remunerative, which gave highest net return (Rs.40712  $ha^{-1}$ ) and benefit cost ratio (1.19).

## References

- Anonymous (1999). The Hindu Survey of Indian Agriculture. Printed & Published at the National Press, Chennai.
- Bhunia, S.R.; Sharma, and Singh, Ved (1997). Contribution of production parameters to yield, economics and water use efficiency of wheat. *Crop Res.*, 14(2): 215-298.

Comment [A6]: the

Comment [A7]: ???

Comment [A8]: by

Comment [A9]: this sentence needs to be rewritten again.

- Jain, R.C. and Jain, P.M. (1993). Effect of preceding rainy season crop on yield and nutrient uptake of wheat under different fertility levels of nitrogen. *Indian J. Agron.* 38(4):643-644.
- Jat, L.N. and Singh, S.M. (2004) Growth, yield attributes and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under different planting pattern of cropping system and varieties. *Indian J. Agron.* 49 (2) 111-113.
- Nakhtore, C.C. and Kewat, M.L. (1989). Response of dwarf wheat to varying fertility levels under. Limited and adequate irrigation conditions. *Indian J. Agron.* 34(4):508-509.
- Narolia, R.S. and Preek, R.G. (2004). Reasons of wheat to nitrogen fertilization and its time of application on yield and economics. *Journal of Eco-Physiology.* 7(3/4): 165-166.
- Pandey, I.B.; Mishra, S.S.; Singh, S.J. and Thaker, S.S. (1998). Effect of seed furrow mulching, irrigation rates and weed management on N-economy, yield and quality of late-sown wheat. *Indian J. Agri.Res.* 32(4):249-255.
- Ramesh Sharma, Surender Dahiya, Anil Rathee Dharam, Singh Nandal, J. K. Malik, R. K. (2009). Effect of INM on growth, yield, economics and soil fertility in rice-wheat cropping system. *Indian Journal of Fertilizers.* 5(3):31- 34. 14.
- Roy, S.k.; Mmaniruzaman, A.F.M. and Saifuzzaman, M. (1991). Effect of planting geometry and nitrogen application on the growth and yield of wheat. *Indian J. Agron.* 36:33-37.
- Sain, M. K. Chaplot, P. C. (2014) Yield and economics of late sown wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as influenced by organic manure and bio-fertilizers at varying levels of nitrogen. *Annals of Biology;* 30(3):506-508.
- Singh, B. (1998). To assess the performance of new wheat varieties under late-sown condition with different nitrogen levels. M.Sc.(Ag) thesis submitted to N.D.U.A.&T., Kumarganj, Faizabad.
- Singh, R. and Agrawal, S.K. (2004) Effect of organic manuring and nitrogen fertilization on productivity, nitrogen use efficiency and economics of wheat. *Indian Journal of Agronomy,* 19 (1): 49-52.