

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_108030
Title of the Manuscript:	FORECASTING POTENTIAL EVAPOTRANSPIRATION FOR KALABURAGI DISTRICT USING SEASONAL ARIMA MODEL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This manuscript has important information for watershed management activities in meteorological and hydrological modeling, especially air management in irrigated agriculture The title is appropriate The abstract still does not explain the research objectives, please add the research objectives before explaining the research methods Please include the formula used in each subsection. Such as autocorrelation test, stationary test, etc In my opinion, this manuscript is scientifically correct, which can be seen from the results and discussion of the manuscript Overall, the references are still not up to date, still using references with old publication years. Therefore, please replace or add references with publications from at least the last 5 years 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	You can check the English grammar writing in this article again.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Overall this article is good and decent, but there are still some writings that need to be improved	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rizki Apriva Hidayana
Department, University & Country	Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia