

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJBCRR_108846
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Biochemical Markers in the Prediction of Preeclampsia
Type of the Article	Review Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the author conducted a literature review on a very important issue of women's health - pregnancy and its complications preeclampsia and eclampsia. Prediction of preeclampsia is very relevant from the point of view of maternal and general women's health.</p> <p>2. Yes, title of the article is suitable</p> <p>3. Yes, however the aim of the research and the obtained results should be more clearly presented in the abstract</p> <p>4. The structure of the paper needs to be improved - the studied material and methodology should be clearly distinguished, which will make the obtained results more reliable.</p> <p>5. The review discusses several biomarkers, which, based on literature data, are considered to be predictors of preeclampsia. Determining different predictive modalities is particularly relevant for all diseases and, of course, for preeclampsia, thus the information provided requires accurate analysis. In the review, it is not clear how the author found these literary data, what types of studies are analyzed; I think the review should present the currently available data more accurately and comprehensively, the criteria on the basis of which the analyzed material is selected should be specified in details.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tamar Vakhtangadze
Department, University & Country	New Vision University, Georgia