

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_108289
Title of the Manuscript:	Lifestyle and Dietary Habits of Adolescents
Type of the Article	Review

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The topic of the manuscript is a very important one, which is no doubt the current scenario of adolescent population.</p> <p>2. The title is a bit vague. It can be more explanatory. Adolescence is a long stage in the life cycle of human beings and extend from 11 to 19 years where there could be vast differences among the adolescents of ages 11-12 and 18-19 years. Make the title more specific. (like – lifestyle and dietary habits of older, younger adolescents or a specific age group)</p> <p>3. The abstract is very elaborate, it should be written in amore concise form.</p> <p>4. The structure of the manuscript is appropriate, methodology part is very limited. It should be explained in more detail. Results also need to be well explained.</p> <p>5. The manuscript addresses a very important topic, it is scientifically correct.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient for the present manuscript, although references older than 10 years especially before year 2000 should not be included.</p> <p>7. At each comment in the discussion, the reference should be provide, not collectively at the end of the paragraph. References should be more specific.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes, the language and grammar of the article is suitable.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The manuscript is suitable for publication after minor revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rafia Bano
Department, University & Country	King Faisal University, Saudi Arabia