

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_109870</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Influence of Seed Rate on Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) Performance in Late Sown Conditions.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the article can serve as one of the references related to wheatgrass research.</p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p>Yes, the abstract provides a comprehensive overview of the study.</p> <p>Needs some improvement following the writing guidelines provided by the journal</p> <p>Yes, this manuscript meets the elements of scientific writing, but requires some improvements.</p> <p>The references presented are very limited and outdated, making it feel inadequate in representing the theoretical analysis underlying the research.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Please review the grammar and writing style once again.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Add the gaps from previous research that are relevant to this study, then identify the novelty in your research article.</p> <p>2. At the end of this introduction paragraph, include the objectives and benefits obtained from this research.</p> <p>3. Deepen the analysis by employing theory as an analytical tool.</p> <p>4. The depth of the discussion presented is still relatively shallow. Additionally, it needs to be explored whether the findings of this study can be aligned or contradicted with previous research.</p> <p>5. Use the most recent references from the last 10 years to demonstrate the development of knowledge and current information in supporting arguments or discussion contexts.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Elsa Yuniarti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universitas Negeri Padang, Indonesia</b>